DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE

Minutes of a meeting of the Development Management Committee of South Norfolk District Council held at South Norfolk House, Long Stratton, on Wednesday 12 September 2012 at 1.00 pm.

Committee Members Present: Councillors J Mooney (Chairman), D Blake, C Foulger, C Gould, M Gray, C Kemp (for part of the meeting), L Hornby, L Neal, T Palmer

Apologies: Councillors T East, F Ellis

Substitutes: Councillors P Allen (for T East), N Legg (for F Ellis)

Officers in Attendance: The Head of Development Control, the Area Planning Officer (West), the Area Planning Officer (East), the Senior Planning Officer (Major Projects GH), the Senior Planning Officer (Major Projects IR), the Planning Officer (East), the Planning Officer (West) and the Head of Localism and Growth

Also in Attendance: D Higgins, Norfolk County Council Highways
K Hamilton, Historic Environment Service

(the press and 35 members of the public were in attendance)

21. MINUTES

The minutes of the Development Management Committee dated 15 August 2012 were confirmed as a correct record and signed by the Chairman.

22. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

The following members declared interest in the matters listed below. Unless indicated otherwise, they remained in the meeting.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Application</th>
<th>Parish</th>
<th>Councillor</th>
<th>Declaration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2012/1278/F &amp;</td>
<td>Diss</td>
<td>C Gould</td>
<td>Local Planning Code of Practice (correspondence from Local Member)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012/1279/LB</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Items 1 &amp; 2)</td>
<td></td>
<td>J Mooney</td>
<td>Local Planning Code of Practice (lobbied by objector)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T Palmer</td>
<td>Local Planning Code of Practice (lobbied by objector)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012/1261/H</td>
<td>Poringland</td>
<td>L Neal</td>
<td>Local Planning Code of Practice (lobbied by objector)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Item 11)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
23. PLANNING APPLICATIONS AND OTHER DEVELOPMENT CONTROL MATTERS

The Committee considered the report (circulated) of the Director of Development and Environment. The officers presented applications listed in the report and representatives from Parish/Town Councils and members of the public addressed the Committee on the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Application</th>
<th>Parish</th>
<th>Speaker(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2012/1278/F &amp;</td>
<td>Diss</td>
<td>Ms D Sarson, Town Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012/1279/LB</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Items 1 &amp; 2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010/2225/0</td>
<td>Tharston &amp; Hapton</td>
<td>Ms J Buckenham, Objector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Item 3)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mr C Olsen, Objector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mr G Davies, Agent for Applicant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cllr T Blowfield, Local Member</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cllr A Pond, Local Member</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cllr A Thomas, County Council Member</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012/530/F</td>
<td>Redenhall with Harleston</td>
<td>Cllr J Savage, Local Member</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Item 4)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Cllr B Riches, Local Member</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mr M Davison, Agent for Applicant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012/1049/F</td>
<td>Wymondham</td>
<td>Mrs G Buckley, Supporter for Applicant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Item 5)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Cllr N Ward, Local Member</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012/1269/F</td>
<td>Colney</td>
<td>Mr M Carpenter, Agent for Applicant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Item 6)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012/0364/F</td>
<td>Shelton</td>
<td>Mr K Beck, Objector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Item 7)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mr A Gibbs, Agent for Applicant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mrs Groen, Applicant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012/0835/F</td>
<td>Heywood</td>
<td>Mrs D Marples, Applicant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Item 8)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012/0972/F</td>
<td>Bunwell</td>
<td>Mr J Pennell, Parish Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Item 10)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012/1261/H</td>
<td>Poringland</td>
<td>Mr Mitchell, Objector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Item 11)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012/1322/H</td>
<td>Hethersett</td>
<td>Mr A Stevens, Applicant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Item 12)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Committee made the decisions indicated in the Appendix to these minutes, conditions of approval or reasons for refusal of planning permission as determined by the Committee being in summary form only and subject to the final determination of the Director of Development and Environment.
24. **ENFORCEMENT REPORTS**

Members considered the report of the Development Control Services Manager regarding the enforcement case at Wortwell (ref 2004/0254)

It was **RESOLVED** that the date for compliance for the Enforcement Notice served on 17 March 2008 be extended to September 2015.

Members considered the report of the Development Control Services Manager regarding the enforcement case at Hempnall (ref 2012/8040)

It was **RESOLVED** that no further action be taken on the matter.

**Updates:**
- **Highway Officer:** no problem with height of fence that remains. The tall wooden posts that are sited behind the fence do restrict visibility from adjacent access to some degree, but you confirm are permitted development. Part of fence on highway and should be re-sited a minimum of 2 metres back from the road edge
- **Hempnall PC** – no objections to fence being left given history of events and the action taken by the landowners
- **Neighbours:** main objection is boundary moved forward approx 2 feet from its original line and is not parallel with the road. Visibility from my access is obscured and is very dangerous and could cause an accident
- **Officers:** note highway view, issue of encroachment on highway would be for the Highway Authority to resolve

Members considered the report of the Development Control Services Manager regarding the enforcement case at Wymondham (ref 2012/8164)

It was **RESOLVED** that, although the sign had been removed, prosecution be authorised to secure removal of the sign in the event of it’s reinstatement.

**Updates:**
- No response from Applicant re removing sign

25. **PLANNING APPEALS**

Members noted the Planning Appeals Report.

26. **PLANNING APPEAL DECISION**

Members noted the Planning Appeal Decision in the matter of land at Townhouse Road, Costessey (2009/1996/O).

(The meeting closed at 5.40 pm)

________________________
Chairman