

	A	B	C	D	E
1	Districts	Parish	Summary of boundary changes requested	Preliminary findings	Proposals agreed at EARC 15 August 2017
2	Beck Vale, Dickleburgh & Scole	Brockdish			No change
3	Beck Vale, Dickleburgh & Scole	Dickleburgh and Rushall		Recommend that number of Councillors be kept at 9.	1. A minor boundary change between Burston and Shimpling and Dickleburgh and Rushall to be considered and, if agreed, proposed in a submission to the Boundary Commission, as the district boundary would be affected. (See Map 1) 2. No further changes proposed.
4	Beck Vale, Dickleburgh & Scole	Pulham Market		Recommend that number of Councillors be kept at 9.	No change, number of Councillors to remain as 9.
5	Beck Vale, Dickleburgh & Scole	Pulham St Mary	Merge with Pulham Market	Recommend that no changes are made as there is no evidence of substantial local support for a merge	No changes proposed as there is no evidence of substantial local support for a merge with Pulham Market.
6	Beck Vale, Dickleburgh & Scole	Scole		Recommend that number of Councillors be kept at 11	No change, number of Councillors to remain as 11.
7	Beck Vale, Dickleburgh & Scole	Starston			No change.
8	Beck Vale, Dickleburgh & Scole	Tivetshall St Margaret	Merge the joint parish councils of Tivetshall St Mary and Margaret	1. Recommend that the joint parish is merged into one single parish. "Tivetshall Parish Council" 2. Recommend that number of Councillors for the new parish be 7	Agreed to merge and remove wards to create Tivetshall Parish Council with 7 Councillors. (See Map 1)
9	Beck Vale, Dickleburgh & Scole	Tivetshall St Mary		See Tivetshall St Mary	Agreed to merge and remove wards to create Tivetshall Parish Council with 7 Councillors.
10	Bressingham & Burston	Bressingham		Recommend that number of Councillors be reduced to 7	Agreed to reduce to the number of Councillors to 7.

	A	B	C	D	E
1	Districts	Parish	Summary of boundary changes requested	Preliminary findings	Proposals agreed at EARC 15 August 2017
11	Bressingham & Burston	Burston & Shimpling	Existing parish council merge with Gissing - Separate parish council for Shimpling - and changes to boundary between Heywood and Burston & Shimpling	1. Recommend that the changes suggested which cross Ward boundaries are not approved as this would involved warding small areas. 2. Recommend that changes to Heywood and Burston & Shimpling are considered (as per map) subject to comments from residents.	1. Agreed that the changes suggested which cross Ward boundaries are not approved as this would involved warding small areas. 2. Agreed that the changes proposed to Heywood and Burston & Shimpling be refused and existing boundaries be maintained as the changes were deemed unnecessary. 3. Please see Map 2 for changes as a result of a request from Dickleburgh and Rushall
12	Bressingham & Burston	Gissing		Recommend that number of Councillors be kept at 5	No change, number of Councillors to remain as 5.
13	Bressingham & Burston	Heywood			No change
14	Bressingham & Burston	Shelfanger			No change
15	Bressingham & Burston	Tibenham			No change
16	Bressingham & Burston	Winfarthing			No change
17	Brooke	Bergh Apton	Merge with Alpington & Yelverton / Thurton	Recommend that no change is necessary or required by the majority of residents responding	Agreed that no change is necessary or required by the majority of residents responding
18	Brooke	Brooke		Recommend that number of Councillors be kept at 9.	No change, number of Councillors to remain as 9
19	Brooke	Kirstead			No change
20	Brooke	Mundham		Recommend that number of Councillors be kept at 5	No change, number of Councillors to remain as 5
21	Brooke	Seething			No change
22	Brooke	Alpington			No change
23	Brooke	Yelverton			No change
24	Bunwell	Aslacton			No change

	A	B	C	D	E
1	Districts	Parish	Summary of boundary changes requested	Preliminary findings	Proposals agreed at EARC 15 August 2017
25	Bunwell	Bunwell	Boundary change between Carleton Rode and Bunwell	Recommend changes to Bunwell and Carleton Road (as per map), subject to comments from residents	Agreed that boundary changes be made to Bunwell and Carleton Road (as per Map 3), subject to comments from residents
26	Bunwell	Carleton Rode	Merge with Bunwell	Recommend no to merger as there is insufficient justification for the proposed changes to be made	1. Agree that no merger take place as there is insufficient justification for the proposed changes to be made 2. Agreed that boundary changes be made to Bunwell and Carleton Road (as per Map 3), subject to comments from residents
27	Bunwell	Great Moulton			No change
28	Cringleford	Colney			No change
29	Cringleford	Cringleford	Boundary change along the A47 (Southern Bypass)	1. Recommend that the changes suggested by Cringleford Parish Council are not approved as they cross Ward boundaries 2. Recommend that the number of Councillors be kept as 11	1. Agreed that the changes between Keswick & Intwood and Caistor St Edmund would be considered further and, if agreed, would be proposed in a submission to the Boundary Commission, as the district boundary would be affected. (See Map 4) 2. No further changes proposed.
30	Cringleford	Keswick & Intwood			Agreed that the changes between Keswick & Intwood and Caistor St Edmund would be considered further and, if agreed, would be proposed in a submission to the Boundary Commission, as the district boundary would be affected. (See Map 4)
31	Diss & Roydon	Diss Town	Increase to no. of councillors to 14 and boundary change	1. Recommend changes to boundary between Diss and Roydon (as per map), subject to comments from Roydon Parish Council 2. Recommend that number of Councillors be increased to 14	1. Agree changes to boundary between Diss and Roydon (as per Map 5), subject to comments from Roydon Parish Council. 2. Recommend that number of Councillors be increased to 14.
32	Diss & Roydon	Roydon	Consider merge with Bressingham	1. As Diss Town above 2. Recommend that number of Councillors remain as 9 . 3. Recommend no merger as there is insufficient justification for the proposed changes to be made	1. Agree changes to boundary between Diss and Roydon (as per Map 5), subject to comments from Roydon Parish Council. 2. Agree that number of Councillors remain as 9. 3. Agree that there is no justification for a merger between Roydon and Bressingham
33	Ditchingham & Earsham	Alburgh			Agreed that the changes suggested by Cllr Gray to move one house from Wortwell to Alburgh be further considered and, if agreed, proposed in a submission to the Boundary Commission, as the district boundary would be affected. (See Map 6)
34	Ditchingham & Earsham	Bedingham			No change
35	Ditchingham & Earsham	Broome			No change
36	Ditchingham & Earsham	Denton	Boundary change between Denton and Earsham	Recommend no changes as there is insufficient justification for the proposed changes to be made	Agreed to amend the boundary between Denton and Earsham, as per the parish council's request (See Map 6)
37	Ditchingham & Earsham	Ditchingham		Recommend that the number of Councillors be reduced to 9	No change

	A	B	C	D	E
1	Districts	Parish	Summary of boundary changes requested	Preliminary findings	Proposals agreed at EARC 15 August 2017
38	Ditchingham & Earsham	Earsham			Agreed to amend the boundary between Denton and Earsham, as per the parish council's request (See Map 6)
39	Ditchingham & Earsham	Geldeston			No change
40	Ditchingham & Earsham	Hedenham	Possible boundary changes between Mundham / Seething	Recommend that the changes suggested are not approved as they cross Ward boundaries	No change
41	Ditchingham & Earsham	Ellingham		Recommend that the number of Councillors remain as 5	Agree that the number of Councillors be remain as 5
42	Ditchingham & Earsham	Kirby Cane	Reduction in the no. of councillors (Kirby Cane	Recommend that the number of Councillors remains as 4	Agree that the number of Councillors be remain as 4
43	Ditchingham & Earsham	Thwaite St Mary			No change
44	Ditchingham & Earsham	Woodton			No change
45	Easton	Easton		Recommend that the number of Councillors be increased to 10	Agree that the number of Councillors be increased to 10
46	Easton	Great Melton			No change
47	Easton	Marlingford and Colton	Suggested boundary change would be out of South Norfolk district boundary		No change
48	Forncett	Forncett			No change
49	Forncett	Tacolneston	Merge with Forncett	Recommend no changes to be made as insufficient justification for changes	Agreed that there was insufficient justification to merge Tacolneston with Forncett.

	A	B	C	D	E
1	Districts	Parish	Summary of boundary changes requested	Preliminary findings	Proposals agreed at EARC 15 August 2017
50	Forncett	Tharston and Hapton	Separate Tharston and Hapton. Working group to be set up between Long Stratton, Wacton and Tharston & Hapton to agree	Recommend no changes to be made as insufficient justification for changes	Agreed that there was insufficient justification to separate Tharston and Hapton, as proposed during the consultation.
51	Forncett	Wacton			No change
52	Harleston	Needham			No change
53	Harleston	Redenhall with Harleston Town		Recommend that the number of Councillors remains as 11	No change, number of Councillors to remain as 11
54	Harleston	Wortwell	Boundary change between Alburgh and Wortwell / merge with Redenhall	Recommend that the changes suggested are not approved as they cross Ward boundaries	Agreed that the changes suggested by Cllr Gray to move one house from Wortwell to Alburgh be further considered and, if agreed, proposed in a submission to the Boundary Commission, as the district boundary would be affected. (See Map 7)
55	Hempnall	Hempnall		Recommend that number of Councillors be reduced to 8	Recommend that number of Councillors be reduced to 8
56	Hempnall	Morningthorpe and Fritton		Recommend that number of Councillors be increased to 7	No change keep as 5
57	Hempnall	Hardwick			No change
58	Hempnall	Shelton and Hardwick see Hardwick			No change
59	Hempnall	Tasburgh		Recommend that number of Councillors remain as 9	No change
60	Hempnall	Topcroft			No change
61	Hethersett	Bawburgh			<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Agreed to propose that Lodge Farm be moved into Old Costessey but noted that these changes would need approval by the Boundary Commission. 2. Reduce number of Councillors to 5. 3. Recommend that a minor boundary changes between Bawburgh and Little Melton be considered further and, if agreed, would be proposed in a submission to the Boundary Commission. (See Map 8 for details)

	A	B	C	D	E
1	Districts	Parish	Summary of boundary changes requested	Preliminary findings	Proposals agreed at EARC 15 August 2017
62	Hethersett	Hethersett	Merge with Wymondham	1. Recommend changes are not approved as not feasible as they cross Ward boundaries. 2. Recommend that number of Councillors be increased to 14.	1. Agree changes are not approved as not feasible and they cross Ward boundaries. 2. Agree that number of Councillors be increased to 14.
63	Hethersett	Little Melton	Boundary change	1. Recommend that the changes suggested between Little Melton & Hethersett are approved (see map). 2. Recommend that changes suggested between Little Melton and Bawburgh Parish are not approved as Bawburgh Parish was warded by the LGBCE during the District Boundary Review and there is no significant justification to change this. 3. Recommend that boundary change between Colney and Little Melton is not approved as this would mean warding a small area.	1. Agree that the changes suggested between Little Melton & Hethersett are approved (see map 8). 2. Recommend that number of Councillors remain at 7. 3. Recommend that a minor boundary change between Bawburgh and Little Melton be considered further and, if agreed, would be proposed in a submission to the Boundary Commission. 4. The boundary change between Little Melton and Colney would not be approved as it would mean warding a small area. (See Map 8 for details)
64	Hingham & Deopham	Deopham			No change
65	Hingham & Deopham	Hingham Town		Recommend that number of Councillors be kept as 11	Agree that number of Councillors remain at 11.
66	Loddon & Chedgrave	Chedgrave	Reduce number councillors	Recommend that number of Councillors be kept as 9	Agree that number of Councillors remain at 9
67	Loddon & Chedgrave	Hales	Merge Hales & Heckingham with Raveningham & Stockton	Recommend no changes to be made as insufficient justification for changes	Agree that there is no justification for a merger of Hales & Heckingham with Raveningham & Stockton
68	Loddon & Chedgrave	Heckingham			No change
69	Loddon & Chedgrave	Langley with Hardley			No change
70	Loddon & Chedgrave	Loddon		Recommend that number of Councillors be kept as 11	Agree that number of Councillors remain at 11
71	Loddon & Chedgrave	Norton Subcourse			No change
72	Loddon & Chedgrave	Raveningham			No change
73	Loddon & Chedgrave	Stockton			No change
74	Loddon & Chedgrave	Sisland			No change

	A	B	C	D	E
1	Districts	Parish	Summary of boundary changes requested	Preliminary findings	Proposals agreed at EARC 15 August 2017
75	Mulbarton & Stke Holy Cross	Bracon Ash		Recommend that number of Councillors be decreased to 7	Recommend that number of Councillors be decreased to 7
76	Mulbarton & Stke Holy Cross	East Carleton	Boundary change to move Lower East Carleton to join Swardston	1. Recommend changes to boundary to move approx 20 houses from East Carleton to Swardston (as per map) and subject to comments from parish council and residents	Agree changes to boundary to move approx 20 houses from East Carleton to Swardston (as per Map 9) and subject to comments from parish council and residents
77	Mulbarton & Stke Holy Cross	Flordon			No change
78	Mulbarton & Stke Holy Cross	Mulbarton			No change
79	Mulbarton & Stke Holy Cross	Stoke Holy Cross			No change
80	Mulbarton & Stke Holy Cross	Swardston			Agree changes to boundary to move approx 20 houses from East Carleton to Swardston (as per map) and subject to comments from parish council and residents
81	Mulbarton & Stoke Holy Cross	Ashwellthorpe	Separate from Fundenhall and create separate parish for Ashwellthorpe	Recommend that no changes are made as there is no significant justification to make changes	Agree that there is no justification for the separation of Ashwellthorpe and Fundenhall
82	Mulbarton & Stke Holy Cross	Ketteringham		See East Carleton	No change
83	Mulbarton & Stoke Holy Cross	Wreningham	Boundary change with all neighboring parishes	1. Recommend that the changes suggested which cross Ward boundaries are not approved as this would involve warding small areas. 2. Recommend that changes suggested between Bracon Ash and Flordon Parish are not approved as there is no significant justification to change this.	1. Agree that no changes be made to Bracon Ash and Flordon, due to lack of justification received during the first phase of consultation. 2. Agree that the changes proposed for Wreningham were not justified as this would involve the warding of small areas.
84	Newton Flotman	Howe			No change
85	Newton Flotman	Newton Flotman		Recommend that number of Councillors remain as 7	Agree that the number of Councillors remains as 7

	A	B	C	D	E
1	Districts	Parish	Summary of boundary changes requested	Preliminary findings	Proposals agreed at EARC 15 August 2017
86	Newton Flotman	Saxlingham Nethergate	Boundary change between Saxlingham Nethergate & Shotesham	1. Recommend that no changes are made as there is no significant justification to make changes 2. Recommend that number of Councillors remain as 9	1. Agree that no changes be made to the boundary between Saxlingham Nethergate and Shotesham due to a lack of justification to make changes. 2. Agree that number of Councillors remains as 9
87	Newton Flotman	Shotesham	Boundary change between Howe	Recommend that the change suggested is not approved as it would cross ward boundaries	Agree that the proposed boundary change between Howe and Shotesham is not approved due to the crossing of ward boundaries
88	Newton Flotman	Swainsthorpe			No change
89	Old Costessey & New Costessey	Costessey	Separate Queens Hills and amend Costessey /Bawburgh boundaries to match District Boundaries agreed by LGBCE. Also boundary changes with Norwich (which are across district boundaries)	Recommend not to approve any changes as suggested boundary changes are not possible as they are out of the South Norfolk district area, and the other boundary changes would require consent from LGBCE	1. Agree not to approve any changes as suggested boundary changes are not possible as they are out of the South Norfolk District, and the other boundary changes would require consent from the Boundary Commission 2. Agree to propose that Lodge Farm be moved into Old Costessey but noted that these changes would need approval by the Boundary Commission. (See Map 10)
90	Poringland, Framinghams & Trowse	Bixley	Combined Community council with Poringland and others - combine with Caistor St Edmund	Recommend to make changes to the north of Poringland (as per map)	Agree to make changes to the north of Poringland (as per Map 11)
91	Poringland, Framinghams & Trowse	Caistor St Edmund	Merge with Bixley or Arminghahall	Recommend that Bixley merges with Caistor St Edmund to form "Caistor St Edmund and Bixley Parish Council"	Agree that Bixley merges with Caistor St Edmund to form "Caistor St Edmund and Bixley Parish Council" (See Map 12)
92	Poringland, Framinghams & Trowse	Framingham Earl	Possible boundary change / merge with Framingham Pigot	1. Recommend that no changes are made as there is no significant justification to make changes 2. Recommend that number of Councillors remain as 7	Agree to recommend that Poringland and Framingham Earl combine and become two wards, 'Poringland Ward' and 'Framingham Earl Ward', with a joint parish council, 'Poringland and Framingham Earl Parish Council'. (See Map 11)

	A	B	C	D	E
1	Districts	Parish	Summary of boundary changes requested	Preliminary findings	Proposals agreed at EARC 15 August 2017
93	Poringland, Framinghams & Trowse	Framingham Pigot			No change
94	Poringland, Framinghams & Trowse	Poringland	Merge options with various - PC's Bixley, Framlingham Earl, Framlingham Pigot, Caistor St Edmund & Upper Stoke	1. Recommend suggested changes are not approved as insufficient reason for changes 2. Recommend that number of Councillors be increased to 13.	Agree to recommend that Poringland and Framingham Earl combine and become two wards, 'Poringland Ward' and 'Framingham Earl Ward', with a joint parish council, 'Poringland and Framingham Earl Parish Council'. (See Map 11)
95	Poringland, Framinghams & Trowse	Trowse with Newton		Recommend that number of Councillors remain as 9	Agree that the number of Councillors remains as 9
96	Rockland	Ashby St Mary	Merge with Thurton / or with Thurton & Langley With Hardley / or with Carlton St Peter or Hellington	1. Recommend that Ashby St Mary form a joint parish with Thurton to be named "Ashby St Mary and Thurton Parish Council" 2. Recommend that Ashby have 4 Councillors and Thurton have 5 Councillors 3. Recommend that other suggested changes are not made as there is insufficient justification for changes	1. Agree that Ashby St Mary form a joint parish with Thurton to be named "Ashby St Mary and Thurton Parish Council" (See Map 13) 2. Agree that Ashby have 4 Councillors and Thurton have 5 Councillors 3. Agree that there is insufficient justification for any other proposed changes
97	Rockland	Bramerton			No change
98	Rockland	Carleton St Peter			No change
99	Rockland	Claxton	Merge with Carleton St Peter	1. Recommend that no changes are made as insufficient reason for changes 2. Recommend that number of Councillors remains as 5	1. Agree that there is insufficient justification for any other proposed changes 2. Agree that the number of Councillors remains as 5
100	Rockland	Holverston			No change
101	Rockland	Kirby Bedon	Possible boundary changes between Kirby Bedon & Trowse	Recommend that the changes suggested which cross Ward boundaries are not approved as there is insufficient justification	Agree that changes suggested which cross ward boundaries are not approved as there is insufficient justification.
102	Rockland	Rockland St Mary		Recommend that number of Councillors remains as 6	No change
103	Rockland	Hellington			No change
104	Rockland	Surlingham			No change
105	Rockland	Thurton		See Ashby St Mary	1. Agree that Ashby St Mary form a joint parish with Thurton to be named "Ashby St Mary and Thurton Parish Council" 2. Agree that Ashby have 4 Councillors and Thurton have 5 Councillors

	A	B	C	D	E
1	Districts	Parish	Summary of boundary changes requested	Preliminary findings	Proposals agreed at EARC 15 August 2017
106	Stratton	Long Stratton	merge with Hapton and Tharston - become a Town council and increase the number of councillors	1. Recommend that no changes are made to the boundary due to the future growth of Long Stratton 2. Recommend that Long Stratton make arrangements for the parish council to be changed to a town council 3. Recommend that the number of Councillors be increased to 13	1. Agree that no changes are made to the boundary due to the future growth of Long Stratton 2. Recommend that Long Stratton make arrangements for the parish council to be changed to a town council 3. Recommend that the number of Councillors be increased to 13
107	Thurlton	Aldeby			No change
108					No change
109	Thurlton	Gillingham			No change
110	Thurlton	Haddiscoe			No change
111	Thurlton	Thurlton			No change
112	Thurlton	Burgh St Peter			No change
113	Thurlton	Wheatacre			No change
114	Thurlton	Toft Monks			No change
115	Wicklewood	Barnham Broom			No change
116	Wicklewood	Brandon Parva, Coston, Runhall & Welborne			No change
117	Wicklewood	Kimberley		Recommend that the number of Councillors remain as 5	Agree that the number of Councillors remains as 5
118	Wicklewood	Morley			No change
119	Wicklewood	Barford		Recommend that the number of Councillors remain as 5	Agree that the number of Councillors remains as 5
120	Wicklewood	Wramplingham		Recommend that the number of Councillors remain as 2	Agree that the number of Councillors remains as 2
121	Wicklewood	Wicklewood			No change
122	Wymondham	Abbey see Wymondham			See Wymondham
123	Wymondham	Cromwells see Wymondham			See Wymondham
124	Wymondham	Northfields see Wymondham			See Wymondham
125	Wymondham	Town see Wymondham			See Wymondham
126	Wymondham	Rustens see Wymondham			See Wymondham

	A	B	C	D	E
1	Districts	Parish	Summary of boundary changes requested	Preliminary findings	Proposals agreed at EARC 15 August 2017
127	Wymondham	Wymondham	Separate Spooner Row, Wattlefield and Sutton from Wymondham TC Boundary change would require consent from LGBCE	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Recommend that Spooner Row, Wattlefield and Sutton be separated from Wymondham Town Council and Spooner Row Community Council be formed (as per map) 2. Recommend that Spooner Row Community Council has 7 Councillors 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Recommend that Spooner Row, Wattlefield and Sutton be separated from Wymondham Town Council and Spooner Row Community Council be formed (as per Map 14) 2. Recommend that Spooner Row Community Council has 7 Councillors