PLANNING APPLICATIONS AND OTHER DEVELOPMENT CONTROL MATTERS

NOTE:
Conditions of approval or reasons for refusal of planning permission as determined by the Committee are in summary form only and subject to the Director of Growth and Localism’s final determination.

Applications referred back to Committee and Major Applications

1  Appl. No  : 2016/1447/F
Parish  : BRESSINGHAM
Applicants Name  : Mr Robert Sanderson
Site Address  : Harvest House Low Road Bressingham IP22 2DB
Proposal  : Demolition of 5 buildings and construction of 17 storage silos, 10 intake silos, 1 dust box, 1 machinery building, 3 grain driers, 6 bulk out load hoppers, an office & laboratory block and 2 weighbridges. New permanent and temporary access for construction vehicles and upgrading of on-site roadways.

Decision  : Members voted 9-2 for Approval

Approved with conditions

1. Full planning permission time limit
2. In accordance with submitted information
3. Visibility splays to be provided
4. Access and on-site turning and parking to be provided
5. Agree and implement off-site highway improvements
6. On-site parking for construction workers
7. Vehicular access only from approved access
8. Temporary construction access to be closed
9. External materials to be agreed
10. Surface water drainage scheme to be agreed
11. Foul water disposal via sealed system or private treatment plant
12. External lighting to be agreed
13. Contaminated land investigation to be agreed
14. Implement any agreed remediation agreed in relation to contamination
15. Unexpected contamination during construction
16. Air quality mitigation to be implemented
17. Implementation of agreed noise mitigation measures
18. Noise monitoring to be undertaken
19. Construction environmental management plan to be agreed
20. Restriction on delivery times
21. Ecological mitigation to be agreed
22. Implementation of landscaping scheme
23. Landscape management arrangements to be agreed
24. Protection of trees and hedgerows
25. Hours of operation for construction works to be agreed
Updates to officer report
4.54 – clarification that paragraph should read “…the proposal would not conflict with the requirements of S66(1) of the Listed Buildings Act 1990.”

4.32 In light of further information submitted on landscape impact (detailed below) para 4.32 of the committee report should be amended to read:

“In considering these comments in the context of the relevant planning policy requirements set out in DM2.1 and DM4.5 it is apparent that if there are significant adverse effects resulting from the proposal then the proposal should be refused. Officers consider that the level of harm identified above, which indicates major harm from the single viewpoint of those specifically identified above, and those immediately to the south of the site:
- Waveney House
- White House B&B
These are highlighted in the Landscape Partnership’s document entitled Focused Visual Impact Assessment. These are identified as being impacted upon by virtue of the scale of the development in paragraph 4.74 and 4.75 of the assessment. Taking into account the close proximity to the existing employment site and considering the proposed development is not located in an area of landscape protection, albeit noting the site is adjacent to a river valley, it is not considered the development would lead to significant adverse effects when taken as a whole.”

Additional condition:

Additional condition required in relation to the provision of acoustic fencing on-site has been requested by the Council’s Environmental Quality Team. It is considered that this is an acceptable request in planning terms.

Additional consultee responses:

Lead Local Flood Authority (LLFA)
- no comments received. Officer response: this does not preclude the granting of permission as conditions 10 and 11 deal with surface water and foul water drainage arrangements are to be agreed.

Anglian Water:
- no comments received. Officer response: this does not preclude the granting of permission for the reason referred to above in relation to the LLFA.

SNC Landscape Architect:
Comments from the Council’s Landscape Architect as referred to in paragraph 4.31 of the committee report are attached (Appendix 1 to this Update Sheet). These respond to a Focussed Landscape Visual Impact Assessment submitted on behalf of objectors to the application.
Officer response: Officers have taken these views into account as referred to in this Update Sheet and the revised paragraph 4.32 above.

Suffolk Wildlife Trust:

Habitat Regulation Assessment – screening document submitted addresses previously raised concerns. Concern also raised that no consideration given on impact on Redgrave and Lopham Fen as a Site of Special Scientific Interest, impact of noise on protected species or visual impact of development from fen.

Officer response to these three issues:

1) In consultation with Norfolk County Council Ecologist, the impact on the protected site has been considered and it is clear that it has been accepted there are no likely significant impacts on the qualifying features of the SAC/Ramsar Site. Therefore it follows that there should be no likely significant impacts on the SSSI notification features of the component SSSI unit, as they are effectively the same.

2) Noise impact is considered unlikely, existing noise levels must be taken into account and distance from site to fen (between 1-3km from site). As a precaution conditions for noise mitigation are recommended (as shown in published committee report).

3) The applicant’s LVIA does consider viewpoints E, F and G and whether the proposals will be viewable from Redgrave and Lopham Fen, concluding that there is a negligible significance of effects. In light of this, and the fact that the Fen is further away, it has not been seen as necessary to require further investigation/assessment.
Highways:

Agent has confirmed that temporary construction access is no longer required, description of development to be re-worded to reflect this.

Further information received from agent in respect of traffic matters following the submission of GH Bullard on behalf of objectors.

The Highway Authority has confirmed that:

Visibility issues from the site entrance have now been clarified with additional drawings. Forward vision splays are now shown on the proposed site access drawing.

Consideration is required to be given to the current level of visibility for the access points to dwellings to the south of the site and the effect that the slight moving of the road edge southwards will have and how this can be improved such that the vision is no worse than current. The additional details now provided show that the levels of visibility from the entrance to The Mill House particularly is currently poor. Some betterment of the current levels of visibility is proposed by the trimming back of foliage. However, the visibility figures are still low and I consider that the applicants must do all that they can to ensure that as much visibility as possible is provided. There are technical alternative options as the regulations do permit the slight narrowing of the central right turn lane down to 3m from 3.5m. This would negate the requirement for some of the widening of the road on the south side which the Agent indicates is 300mm at the entrance to The Mill House. However, I consider that this is a matter for the stage 2 construction details and the NCC design team to consider if consent is granted.

Officer response:
Sufficient information is now considered submitted to demonstrate satisfactory visibility splays can be provided to ensure highway safety in line with policy DM3.11 of the local plan subject to conditions outlined in the committee report.

Additional representations from Mr Falk, Mr and Mrs Porter & Mr Lambert

Representation from Mr Falk –

Application history
Officer response: Officers raised initial reservations about the scheme as part of a pre-application proposal, however, having taken the opportunity to undertake a detailed assessment as part of the formal application process it has reached its recommendation to approve based upon the assessment contained within the committee report.

Proposal violates pattern and intent of 20 years of planning control and enforcement on the site.
Officer response: officers are fully aware of the planning history of the site and this ahs been taken into account in the determination of the application.

Proposal not in accordance with Local Plan policies – EMP6, ENV8, DM1.1, DM2.7, DM1.3, DM3.8, DM3.13, DM4.5, DM4.9, DM4.10, JCS and NPPF and “Development considerations in the Waveney Valley”.
Officer response: Officers are satisfied that it has assessed the application against all relevant policies, however, it should be stressed that EMP6 and ENV8 are no longer applicable as they have been superseded by the South Norfolk Local Plan adopted in 2015.

Overdevelopment
Officer response: the Council has assessed the quantum of development put forward for the site and consider that it is acceptable in the context of all relevant policies.

E-mail from Mr & Mrs Porter in respect of the DLA rebuttal. Concerns regarding there being no room for screening, description of landscape as ordinary countryside is incorrect, why is this landscape being treated differently to that of the Openfield site in Wiltshire
Officer response: Officers are satisfied that they have fully assessed the landscape impact of the proposal having regard to all documents submitted on this matter.
The following matters have also been raised in further letters of representation, to which Officers consider are all covered in the committee report or in the above Update Sheet:

- Concern over use of and lack of conditions
- Required new highway junction cannot be built to the required highway safety standards.
- Proposal damages the setting of and multiple listed buildings and the height of the industrial structures and lack of effective screening will harm the surrounding landscape.
- Future of care home placed in jeopardy.
- Acceptable control conditions have not been established. Noise – none of the noise reports submitted have been approved by the Environmental Quality Team, noise levels predicted will be intolerable.
- Dust impacts and explosive risk have not been considered. - Officer response, the list of conditions includes one relating to air pollution and explosion risk is a health and safety issue as identified in the committee report.
- Site Drainage
- Economic benefits not established, over riding economic benefits not possible.
- Location of site unsuitable as focus for regional traffic
- Impact on adjacent RAMSAR and SSSI sites
- Visual grounds
- Heritage
- Tree removal

Letter of support from G Easton & Sons Ltd confirming that they and other businesses would benefit from larger capacity grain storage sites in the local area. Reading through the documentation my assessment is that officers have taken objections seriously and incorporated a robust set of conditions and they support the recommendation.

Members will also be aware that they have received lobbying material directly.
2 Appl. No : 2016/0482/F
Parish : DICKLEBURGH & RUSHALL
Applicants Name : Mr Chris Smith
Site Address : Land North Of Harvey Lane Dickleburgh Norfolk
Proposal : Residential development of land to provide 22 dwellings, together with access, parking and associated infrastructure

Decision : Members voted 6-5 to authorise the Director of Growth and Localism to Approve

Approved with conditions

1. Time limit full permission
2. In accordance with plans
3. Standard highways conditions including promotion of signage and location of 20mph and 30mph speed limit to be agreed
4. Future management and maintenance of roads
5. Details of construction of roads and footways
6. Off-site highway works for footpath
7. Construction worker parking
8. Materials to be agreed
9. Surface water drainage scheme
10. Fire hydrant to be provided
11. Landscaping management
12. Biodiversity management plan
13. Contaminated land
14. Construction management plan
15. Renewable energy
16. Water efficiency

Subject to no substantive new comments being received in the remaining consultation period and completion of S106 agreement to secure affordable housing and commuted sum for off-site play equipment improvements.

Updates to officer report

Additional consultee responses:

County Ecologist
As the plans stand, they do not appear to be in conflict with our original recommendations made on 14th March 2016.

Additional representations:

12 additional letters of objection received and a petition with over 100 signatures (summarised):
- Harvey Lane is narrow and already has a lot of traffic.
- Concerns over the safety of children and other users of the lane.
- Little difference from the previous proposals
- Very little or no consideration made to safety of all road users.
- Concerns over proposed white-line for pedestrians
- Request to receive comments by 1 March, represents is a prohibitive timescale and one which allows no opportunity to include into the already published Committee Report.
- Proposals do not meet the Local Plan Policy DIC1 which calls for ‘Local Highways Improvements’.
- Fails to provide a “safe” route for pedestrians, existing and proposed from the development.
• Concerns that profit is being put before the safety of road users.
• Lane is not wide enough for cars to pass each other.
• Development needs to include appropriate footways to provide safe access to the village infrastructure.
• Concerns over visibility of junction at The Street and Harvey Lane.
• A more sustainable solution would have seen the provision of a pedestrian route of at least 1.5m width, contiguous for the length of the lane.

District Member (Clr Hudson) (summarised):
• Do not consider that the application meets the requirements of Policy DIC1.
• The revised proposed highways improvements would need to be resolved both for both vehicular traffic and pedestrians before I would be position to recommend approval.
• White line painted margin is not providing safe access. No attempts have been made to improve safe access in the section of road since the application was last considered.
• Either the application of deferred to bring forward a footpath for the full extent of Harvey Lane on the southern side, or it is refused on grounds of inadequate pedestrian facilities.

Richard Bacon MP (summarised):
• No objection in principle to the provision of housing at this location.
• Declare a conflict of interest as my children attend Dickleburgh VC Primary School. Although they travel to the school by car from a neighbouring village.
• Concerned that not enough effort has been put into keeping pedestrians on Harvey Lane safe, particularly children walking to the village school.
• Shares concern that the pedestrian margin is ‘a theoretical barrier’ and will offer no protection.
• Considers that in the interests of the current and future residents that a better solution is found to the highways issues.
• Suggests that if land were to become available along the southern side of Harvey Lane, it would enable the carriageway to be widened and a proper footway provided. The obvious solution is for county, district and parish councils to jointly hold further discussions with local landowners with a view to acquiring a small sliver of land along the south side of Harvey Lane.
• Would be grateful if the Development Management Committee would again vote to defer this application to enable such discussions to be pursued to a satisfactory conclusion.
• Notes that this application does not provide any serviced plots for those who wish to build their own homes.

Members will also be aware that they have received lobbying material directly.
Major applications or applications raising issues of significant precedent

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Appl. No</th>
<th>Parish</th>
<th>Applicants Name</th>
<th>Site Address</th>
<th>Proposal</th>
<th>Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2016/0627/F</td>
<td>WYMONDHAM</td>
<td>Mr James Alston</td>
<td>Land At Chapel Road And Bunwell Road Spooner Row Norfolk</td>
<td>30 residential dwellings (17 dwellings at Bunwell Road and 13 dwellings at Chapel Road), with associated open space, highways and landscaping works</td>
<td>Members voted 10-0 (with 1 abstention) to authorise the Director of Growth and Localism to Approve</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Approved with conditions

1. Standard time limit
2. In accordance with plans
3. External materials as in accordance with schedule
4. Boundary treatments as in plans except for southern boundary to Bunwell Road site which are to be agreed
5. Boundary treatment to be agreed to southern boundary of Bunwell Road
6. Landscaping management and maintenance arrangements to be agreed
7. Highways conditions
8. Noise and dust management scheme to be agreed
9. Unknown contamination
10. Surface water to be agreed
11. Foul water to be agreed
12. Ecology recommendations and management to be adhered to
13. Requirements of energy efficiency statement to be complied with
14. Fire hydrant to be provided

Subject to no new substantive issues being raised in remaining consultation period; and

Subject to S106 to secure affordable housing, open space management and maintenance arrangements and contributions in the form of a “schools payment” and a “community payment”. It should be noted that the two aforementioned are offered again as a positive gesture as was the case in the last S106 agreement, however it should be noted that there is no planning requirement to provide them and as such no weight is attached to these in the decision-making process.

Updates to officer report

Additional consultee responses:

LLFA – no objection subject to conditions.

Highway Authority – further revisions are required in respect of including the realignment of the ditch and frontage footway on to the application site at Bunwell Rd. include full extent of visibility to the north of the site access. Revise position of lay bys on the chapel road scheme as requested previously.
Officer response: These revisions can be resolved satisfactorily subject to receipt of further plans, however, to reflect the need for these to be submitted to the Council the recommendation should be revised to give delegated authority to the Director of Growth and Localism to approve subject to conditions, S106 and receipt of satisfactory plans which address the observations of the Highway Authority (NCC).

Anglian Water – no comments received.

Officer response - This does not preclude the granting of consent. Foul water drainage and surface water drainage arrangements are both to be dealt with via suggested conditions 10 and 11.

SNC Design and Conservation Officer

Chapel Road
Building for Life score 8 greens, 3 ambers, 1 red
The scheme is appropriate for linear development – and the landscape buffer will create an attractive rural streetscene and an attractive frontage area for the properties which is well overlooked. The street is a cul-de-sac, but only in the vehicle rather than pedestrian sense. It is unfortunate that this site is separated from the other side in terms of affordable housing provision – and consequently the public space is not as good as on the other site. Recreational space and play equipment is some distance away.

Officer response: It should be noted that the 1 red is scored due to the concentration of all of the affordable dwellings on this site. As set out in paragraph 4.14 of the report, this is consistent with the arrangement previously agreed under the outline application, there is no objection to this arrangement from the Council’s Housing Enabling and Strategy manager.

Bunwell Road
Building for Life score 11 Greens 1 amber
This is a ‘neat’ scheme. The village green is of particular value. The development has a good level of informality in the layout and housing without resorting to elongated cul-de-sacs, and this lends itself well to a more rural rather suburban character.

4 Appl. No : 2016/2388/F
Parish : PORINGLAND

Applicants Name : Mr Sean Marten (David Wilson Homes, Eastern Counties)
Site Address : Land North Of Stoke Road Poringland Norfolk
Proposal : Full planning application for up to 120 dwellings (Phase 2), senior recreation space, children's plays space and associated infrastructure.

Decision : Members voted 5-4 (with 2 abstentions) for Approval

Approved with conditions

1. Time limit full permission
2. In accordance with plans
3. Standard highways conditions
4. Future management and maintenance of roads
5. Details of construction of roads and footways
6. Construction worker parking
7. Materials to be agreed
8. Surface water drainage scheme to be implemented as agreed scheme prior to any other works taking place
9. Foul water drainage strategy
10. Fire hydrant to be provided
11. Landscaping scheme and management plan
12. Biodiversity Management Plan to be submitted
13. Contaminated land
14. Construction management plan
15. Programme of archaeological mitigation work
16. Renewable energy
17. Water efficiency
18. Levels to be agreed

Subject to completion of S106 agreement to secure affordable housing and open space.

Updates to officer report
Update to paragraphs 4.2 and 4.43:
The application site area and open space figure quoted in the planning application and subsequent assessment omitted the area of POS within the red line to north. Accordingly the total site area is 8.1 ha, the total area of POS is 3.42ha and the gross density is 14.8 dph. The conclusions of the assessment remain the same as reported and the site layout and redline boundary remain unchanged.

Update to paragraph 4.19:
Conditions relating to surface water for Phase 1 of the development have now been agreed and discharged.

Update to paragraph 4.53:
The total number of affordable units proposed is 38. The tenure split referred to in paragraph 4.59 is 15 affordable rented dwellings and 4 discounted market units in the south east corner. The central area of affordable units is 17 affordable rent and 2 discounted market units.

Information has been submitted that demonstrates how the proposals comply with the South Norfolk Place-Making Guide design principles as well as explaining the approach to achieving this by carrying out a Building for Life 12 evaluation, which has been reviewed and scores 11 greens out of 12.

5  Appl. No : 2016/2668/O
Parish : WYMONDHAM
Applicants Name : Mountleigh Development Holdings
Site Address : Old Sale Yard Cemetery Lane Wymondham Norfolk
Proposal : Outline planning permission for residential development only with associated access, parking and public open space on 1.69ha of land. All matters other than means of access are reserved for consideration at a later date.

Decision : Members voted unanimously to authorise the Director of Growth and Localism to Approve

Approved with conditions

1. Time limit outline permission
2. In accordance with plans
3. Standard highways conditions
4. Future management and maintenance of roads
5. Details of construction of roads and footways
6. Off-site highway arrangements to be agreed
7. Construction worker parking
8. Materials to be agreed
9. Surface water drainage scheme
10. Foul water drainage details to be agreed
11. Fire hydrants to be provided
12. Landscaping scheme and management
13. Tree protection plan to be agreed
14. Tree retention plan to be agreed
15. Biodiversity Management Plan to be submitted
16. Ecological improvements to be implemented
17. Contaminated land scheme to be submitted
18. Implementation of remediation scheme
19. Contaminated land during construction
20. Construction management plan to be submitted
21. Renewable energy
22. Water efficiency
23. Safety Audit to be submitted

Subject to no substantive new issues being raised in the remaining consultation period and the completion of S106 agreement to secure affordable housing and commuted sum for off-site older children/adult open space.

6 Appliance No : 2016/2837/RVC
Parish : BAWBURGH

Applicants Name : Mr Ivor Wills
Site Address : Land North Of Bawburgh Road Bawburgh Norfolk
Proposal : Variation of Condition 6 - Working Hours - 0700 - 2100 November to June, 0800 - 1800 Sat and Sundays, 0600 - 2300 July to October including weekends, Bank holidays as required within the above limits and Condition 8: revised Lighting Plan for the proposed site of permission 2016/1303/F

Decision : Members voted unanimously for Approval

Approved with conditions

1. In accordance with approved details and previous conditions
2. Amended hours of use
3. Amended lighting condition
Appl. No : 2016/2942/DC
Parish : CRINGLEFORD

Applicants Name : Mr Andrew Taylor
Site Address : Land South-west Of Newfound Farm Colney Lane Cringleford
Norfolk

Proposal : Discharge of condition 6 - design code report of permission
2013/1793 (outline planning permission for a development for up to
650 dwellings together with a small local centre, primary school with
early years facility, two new vehicular accesses off Colney Lane,
associated on-site highways, pedestrian and cycle routes, public
recreational open space, allotments, landscape planting and
community woodland)

Decision : Members voted unanimously for Approval that the Design Code be
approved pursuant to condition 6 of planning permission 2013/1793
subject to the resolution of outstanding matters of minor
amendment and clarification.

Updates to officer report

Design Code document has been amended following publication of the Committee agenda with the
following minor amendments:
- Roofscape details added
- Street tree terminology amended to accord with SNC Landscape Architect requirements
- Minor corrections and clarifications made
- Commitment to amend the document prior to determination to strengthen the green
  landscaped link between key space 6 and the green walkway to the west (keyspace 7)

Parish Council comments on amended Design Code:
- Agree with Officers that there should be a break between storey heights of building and that
  three storey buildings should be avoided adjacent to the school site (officer note: document
  has been updated to reflect this)
- Seek clarification on garage sizes from SNC (Officer note: Officers have clarified to PC the
  minimum garage sizes required in line with Parking standards 2007)
- Agree detail on roofscape as per Officers request is required (officer note: document has been
  updated to reflect this)
- General comment of open boundary treatments

SNC Design and Conservation Officer comments on amended document:
No objection and happy with document as amended

SNC Landscape Architect comments on amended document:
- Text regarding street trees is now acceptable.
- Reference still required in the document to make more of a green landscape link between key
  space 6 to key space 7 to the west.
- Subject to this minor addition, no objection.

Correction:
Para 5.1 from ‘Cringeford Town Council’ to ‘Cringeford Parish Council’.

Recommendation: remains unchanged as as set on page 125 of the report - To agree design code be
approved pursuant to Condition 6 of planning permission 2013/1793 subject to the resolution of
outstanding matters of minor amendment and clarification
8  Appl. No: 2016/0466/O
Parish: WOODTON

Applicants Name: Mrs Juliet Read
Site Address: Land North West Of The Street Woodton Norfolk
Proposal: Outline planning application with some matters reserved for 21 new residential units (with secondary access from Suckling Place for emergency use and for pedestrian / cycle access)

Decision: Members voted unanimously to authorise Director of Growth and Localism to approve

Approved with Conditions

1 Outline Permission Time Limit
2 Standard outline requiring reserved matters
3 Ecological mitigation
4 Surface water drainage scheme
5 Water Efficiency
6 Provision of fire hydrant
7 Highway conditions
8 Tree Protection
9 Contamination
10 Details of air source heat pumps

Subject to S106 agreement to secure affordable housing, open space and commuted sum for play equipment

Subject to submission of additional arboricultural information and amendments to the indicative site layout to the satisfaction of the Landscape Architect and the Highway Authority

Updates to officer report

Change to recommendation:
There has been a delay in submitting the further arboricultural information and tweaks requested by the highway authority. As a consequence, the recommendation is amended to “Authorise Director of Growth and Localism to approve subject to submission of additional arboricultural information and amendments to the indicative site layout to the satisfaction of the Landscape Architect and the Highway Authority.”

9  Appl. No: 2015/2463
Parish: HINGHAM

Applicants Name: Paul Legrice – Abel Homes
Site Address: Land south of Norwich Road, Hingham
Proposal: Proposed Deed of Variation to the S106 for the consent for the proposal for the erection of 88 new homes plus associated roads and landscaping

Decision: Members voted unanimously for Approval that the Deed of Variation to Section 106 Agreement be secured

Approved
Other Applications

10  Appl. No  : 2016/2987/F  
Parish  : HETHERSETT  
Applicants Name  : Saffron Housing Trust Ltd  
Site Address  : Land East of 10 and 12 Ketts Close Hethersett Norfolk  
Proposal  : Proposed 2no. semi-detached bungalows (affordable housing)  
Decision  : Members voted 5-4 (the Chairman used his casting vote) for Approval  
Approved with Conditions  
1  Full Planning permission time limit  
2  In accordance with amendments  
3  External materials to be agreed  
4  Slab level to be agreed  
5  New Water Efficiency  
6  Surface Water to be agreed  
7  Provision of parking  
8  Reporting of unexpected contamination  
9  Implementation of boundary treatment  
10  Tree protection  
11  PD rights removed for first floor openings and roof alteration/extension  

Updates to officer report
Clarification  
Notwithstanding the map produced in the agenda is for the purposes of identifying the site. The application site has been incorrectly plotted and is shown small than the proposed site plan is.  

Lobbying material sent to members both from applicant and objectors  
Parish Council recommend refusal  

11  Appl. No  : 2016/3012/F  
Parish  : HETHERSETT  
Applicants Name  : Mr Sam Watts  
Site Address  : Land To The Rear Of 25 South Croft Hethersett Norfolk  
Proposal  : Demolition of existing garages and erection of 4 No 1 bedroom bungalows  
Decision  : Members voted 8-1 (2 abstained) for Approval  
Approved with conditions  
1  Full Planning permission time limit  
2  In accordance with amendments  
3  External materials to be agreed  
4  Slab level to be agreed  
5  New Water Efficiency  
6  Boundary treatment to be agreed  
7  Contaminated land - submit scheme  
8  Reporting of unexpected contamination  
9  Provision of parking, service  
10  Retention trees and hedges
11 PD rights removed for first floor openings and roof alterations/extensions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>12</th>
<th>Appl. No</th>
<th>: 2017/0252/H</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Parish</td>
<td>: CHEDGRAVE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Applicants Name</td>
<td>: Mrs Claire Day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Site Address</td>
<td>: 18 Langley Road Chedgrave Norfolk NR14 6HD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Proposal</td>
<td>: Rear single storey extension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Decision</td>
<td>: Members voted unanimously for Approval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>: Approved with conditions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>: 1 Full Planning permission time limit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>: 2 In accord with submitted drawings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>: 3 Matching Materials</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Applications on land owned and submitted by South Norfolk Council

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>13</th>
<th>Appl. No</th>
<th>: 2017/0046/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Parish</td>
<td>: DISS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Applicants Name</td>
<td>: Mr Daniel Infanti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Site Address</td>
<td>: Diss Leisure Centre Victoria Road Diss Norfolk IP22 4JG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Proposal</td>
<td>: Proposed banner on front fascia with changeable content</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Decision</td>
<td>: Members voted unanimously for Approval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>: Approved with conditions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>: 1-5 Standard advert conditions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>: 6 In accord with submitted drawings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>: 7 Advert details as submitted</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>