

Assessment of potential Gypsy and Traveller sites - 2005.

Site	Advantages	Disadvantages	Suitable for Permanent?
Shotesham Road, Woodton	Relatively close to village Well screened Flat Water/electricity available Few dwellings in immediate area Close to good road network Available	Not in a “corridor” Number of trees on site –forestry officer would need to inspect Considerable site clearance required Nearest dwelling relatively close Shotesham Road itself single width	Possible – meets a number of criteria but far from ideal
Seething	Well away from any dwellings Has been used as tolerated site for number of years without complaint Existing access Reasonable road network Owner willing to sell or rent	Not in a “corridor” Not close to any facilities Open site with little screening Close to commercial use Expensive	Yes – but only because it has historically used without complaint – site does not meet many of identified criteria
Chapel Bell	Close to town with good range of facilities Good road network Site access OK	Adjoins cluster of dwellings - some listed Immediately next to sewage works Surrounded by ditches –likely to be wet in winter	No
Trowse	Good road access Well screened Few dwellings nearby Close to city	Noisy – immediately next to A47 Proposed site for park and ride - Unlikely to be available	No

South Norfolk Gypsy and Traveller LDD – proposed submission documents 2008

Spirketts Lane Harleston	Close to town with good range of facilities Good road access Flat empty site Good screening on two boundaries No dwellings close to site	Open on north boundary	No - Planning permission refused
Frenze Beck Diss	Close to town with good range of facilities Good road access No dwellings close to site Has been used as unauthorised site	Flood risk Nature area	No – Environment Agency will object to caravans in floodplain
Former RAF Base Stoke Holy Cross	Close to facilities Close to primary road network No nature conservation interests	Too remote from A47 “corridor” To rear of existing dwellings and close to large number of dwellings Access between dwellings Large number of objections likely Site area well in excess of what is required	No
Carleton Rode	Not immediately next to dwellings Reasonable road network	Not in a “corridor” Access onto straight fast stretch of road House on site (what happens to it) Not convenient to facilities Area of relatively open countryside	No
Lower Thurlton	Relatively well screened although some glimpsed views from Low Road through hedge and visible from south when travelling north Flat - large area of concrete hardstanding already on site Nearest property is owned by landowner	Too remote from A143 “corridor” Road network mainly single track – although large number of informal passing places Remote from any services and facilities Open on north south and west boundaries Number of dwellings in area Opposite Broads area	No – too far from facilities, road network

South Norfolk Gypsy and Traveller LDD – proposed submission documents 2008

<p>Land to north of A143 Kirby Cane/Ellingham</p>	<p>Good existing screening will limit impact on landscape Screening on west boundary and buffer of an empty field will prevent any view of the site from existing dwellings (nearest property approximately 100 metres to west) Well related to primary road network Ellingham/Kirby Cane has development boundary and some facilities No special designation</p>	<p>Access directly onto A143 – 50mph speed limit but most traffic appeared to be travelling faster Wrong side of A143 – no footpaths Car use to get to facilities likely to be high Unlikely to be available except as part of larger area which shares the same access – excessive cost</p>	<p>No</p>
---	---	---	-----------

Sites owned by South Norfolk - 2005

Site	Advantages	Disadvantages	Suitable for Permanent?
Church Road, Aslacton	Close to school and other facilities Reasonable access and road network	Not in a “corridor” Close to dwellings	No
Audley Close, Burston	Close to school Good access	Not in a “corridor” Close to dwellings – including old persons bungalows	No
Mallard Close, Chedgrave	Good size Close to facilities Good access	Not in a “corridor” Close to dwellings Current application for residential development	No
Longwater Lane, Costessey	Close to facilities Good access, road network	Next to existing travellers site Close to dwellings but site large enough to site caravans well away Next to cemetery	No – planning permission refused
Rectory Road, Dickleburgh	Close to facilities	Too remote from A143 “corridor” Large site Close to dwellings but as for Costessey site above Adjoining Conservation Area	No
Scudamore Place, Ditchingham	Large site Close to facilities	Surrounded by dwellings Long access through village	No
Common Road, Gissing	None	Poor road network and too remote from A143 “corridor” Small site close to dwellings Land swap site – unlikely to be available	No

South Norfolk Gypsy and Traveller LDD – proposed submission documents 2008

Henry Ward Road, Tasburgh	Close to school and facilities	Not in a “corridor” Situated at end of estate road Close to dwellings Too small	No
Rushall Road, Harleston	Good size Close to all facilities Good access	Close to dwellings	No – planning permission revoked
The Green Kimberley	Good access	Not in a “corridor” Close to dwellings Conservation Area	No
Swan Lane, Long Stratton	Large site Good access	Not in a “corridor” Not very flat Close to dwellings Close to Cygnet House	No
All Saints Road, Poringland	Close to facilities	Not in a “corridor” Too small Surrounded by dwellings	No
Shotesham Road, Poringland	Large site Close to facilities Good access and road network	Not in a “corridor” Close to dwellings Near Albion House	No
Chestnut Road, Pulham St Mary	None	Not in a “corridor” Next to listed building Close to dwellings	No
Hose Avenue, Roydon	None	Traffic would pass through village Too small Close to dwellings	No
Five Acres, Stoke Holy Cross	None	Poor access from A47 “corridor” Too small Close to dwellings	No

South Norfolk Gypsy and Traveller LDD – proposed submission documents 2008

Everson Road, Tasburgh	Close to facilities	Not in a “corridor” Poor access Close to dwellings	No
Links Way, Thurlton	Close to facilities	Not in a “corridor” End of estate road Surrounded by dwellings	No
Suckling Place, Woodton	Large site	Not in a “corridor” Long narrow access Close to dwellings	No
Eleven Mile Lane Suton	None	Immediately to rear of dwellings Narrow access Remote from services	No
Cemetery lane Wymondham	Close to town No dwellings immediately next to site	Narrow access Proposed use as car park – unlikely to be available Immediately opposite cemetery	No
Friarscroft lane Wymondham	Large site Close to town and facilities	Friarscroft Lane very narrow Close to dwellings Adjoining conservation area Proposed use as GP surgery and affordable housing – unlikely to be available	No