

Local Development Framework

**Gypsy and Traveller
Development Plan
Document (DPD) Reg
27
Sustainability Appraisal
Report**

If you need this document in large print, audio tape, Braille or another language, Please contact:
Planning Admin, South Norfolk Council, Long Stratton, Norfolk NR15 2XE
Tel: 01508 533846 Fax: 01508 533625 Minicom: 01508 533622 E-mail
ladf@s-norfolk.gov.uk

GYPSY AND TRAVELLER DEVELOPMENT PLAN DOCUMENT (DPD): SUSTAINABILITY APPRAISAL: Non-Technical Summary

Introduction

South Norfolk Council is preparing a Development Plan Document (DPD) to allocate Gypsy and Traveller sites, the full details of this are included within the accompanying Proposed Submission Document. This Summary is provided to demonstrate how the Sustainability Appraisal informed the decision as to which sites should be recommended as allocated sites.

Previous documents in the development of the DPD include Sustainability Appraisals carried out for the development of selection criteria which is included as an Appendix to this document.

The Regulation 25 document shortlisted the following eight sites as potential permanent sites for 6-8 pitches:

- Coach depot on Old Harleston Road, Earsham
- Land at Old Harleston Road, Earsham
- Land at Scole Road, Brockdish
- Land at Chepore Lane, Sutton
- Land at Station Road, Spooner Row
- Land west of Stanfield Oil Depot, Wymondham
- Former MoD Fuel Depot, Ketteringham
- Land at Station Lane, Ketteringham

In addition, a transit site had also been shortlisted at Thickthorn Interchange.

Of these, the Council have selected the two sites (land at Old Harleston Road, Earsham, and west of Stanfield Oil Depot, Wymondham) to be allocated as permanent sites. No transit sites are recommended to be allocated in the initial submission but we are working with Norfolk County Council to contribute to a network of transit sites.

In carrying out the Sustainability Appraisal, the sites were scored against a number of environmental, social and economic factors. The scores and reasons for the scores given can be found in the accompanying document. The following comments are of the conclusions reached from the process.

Conclusions on individual sites

The Coach Depot site on Old Harleston Road, Earsham was scored negatively on environmental factors as although using a previously developed site, it was not considered to be well located to facilities and also has issues relating to noise disturbance from the adjoining scaffolding business. The site also offers the opportunity to positively contribute towards social objectives.

The other site at Old Harleston Road, Earsham, also offers potential to achieve social objectives in improving community cohesion and education and

health provision for site occupiers. However, as a greenfield site outside of the village itself, it is not ideally located to achieve environmental factors or proximity to employment facilities.

The third site in the A143 / A1066 corridor is the site at Scole Road, Brockdish: The site scores poorly on environmental factors due to it being a Greenfield site on the side of a valley with local landscape protection designations but can assist in achieving social objectives through improving community cohesion and access to education / health services.

In regard to the A11 corridor, the site at Chepore Lane, Sutton, scored poorly on some environmental factors such as its location in relation to services and use of a greenfield site currently in use for agricultural production. However the site does offer the potential to achieve social objectives such as improving community cohesion and access to education / health services.

The site at Station Road, Spooner Row scored very similarly on all factors to the above site at Sutton.

The site at land west of Stanfield Oil Depot, Stanfield Road did not score on well on environmental factors due to its location which is not well related to local services. The site can, however, help in achieving social objectives such as improving community cohesion and access to health and education facilities.

Of the two sites proposed in the A47 corridor, the former MoD Fuel Depot, Ketteringham had a neutral score on environmental factors and scored positively on the potential to achieve social objectives such as improving social cohesion and access to education and health facilities. However, as detailed in the recommendations, the need and appropriateness of this site have been called into question.

The site at Station Lane, Ketteringham, is considered to be particularly poor in environmental terms due to its location in relation to services and evidence of surface water flooding, although it still offers potential to achieve social objectives such as improving community cohesion and access to education / health provision.

The proposed transit site at Thickthorn scored poorly on environmental and economic factors, with only limited potential to achieve social objectives. However, the very nature of transit sites means that they are unlikely to score well on these criteria. The main issue raised is that of air quality for site occupiers.

Recommendations

Of the A143 / A1066 corridor, the Coach Depot site scored the worst on environmental factors due to the issue of noise disturbance from the adjoining scaffolding business whereas the nearby site on Old Harleston Road has the

potential for mitigation measures due to its greater size. The site at Brockdish has been discounted due to highway issues, thereby leaving Old Harleston Road as the preferred site.

None of the sites in the A11 corridor scored well on environmental factors, however the site at Spooner Row raised other significant concerns which led to the site being discounted. Although this concern did not apply to Sutton, overall it was not considered that this site was as suitable as the site at land to the west of Stanfield Oil Depot.

In the A47 corridor, the former MoD fuel depot emerged as the better scoring site in the Sustainability Appraisal. However, concerns were raised about both sites in regard to potential highway alterations, whilst the need for sites in the A47 corridor has now been met through private sites that have been permitted in this corridor since 2006.

The transit site to Thickthorn had to be discounted due to the environmental factor of air quality and highways issues.

South Norfolk Gypsy and Traveller DPD – Sustainability Appraisal of Options

South Norfolk Gypsy and Traveller DPD – Sustainability Appraisal of Short-listed Sites

Site: Coach Depot, Old Harleston Road, Earsham					
Sustainability Appraisal Objectives	Investigating Question or Decision Making Criteria	Assessing the impacts (++ / - - / 0 / ?)			Nature of Effects and Overall Assessment: Quantify where possible. Include justification, comments and recommendations e.g. for mitigation measures
		Short term	Medium term	Long term	
ENV1: To maintain and enhance biodiversity, geodiversity, species and habitat quality, and avoid habitat fragmentation					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will sites of nature conservation value be adversely affected by development of the site? • Will development of the site increase the number or diversity of sites of nature conservation interest? • Will it adversely affect sites of geological interest? • Will it contribute to achieving BAP targets? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ability to influence Biodiversity Action Plan progress and protect SSSIs • Limiting detrimental change to areas designated for their intrinsic environmental value 			Not on or adjacent to sites with nature conservation value, surface water drainage will need to be controlled to ensure no adverse impact on River Waveney.
		0	0	0	
ENV2: To limit or reduce vulnerability to climate change, including minimising the risks from flooding					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will development of the site minimise the risk of flooding? • Will it help reduce the vulnerability of agriculture to changes in weather patterns? • Is it promoting sustainable use of flood zones? • Does it encourage habitat relocation or compensation? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reducing the number of planning applications permitted in flood zones • Reducing the vulnerability of planning applications permitted in flood zones 			Not in identified flood risk zone, nor any evidence of surface water flooding
		0	0	0	
ENV3: To maximise the use of renewable energy solutions and reduce contributions to climate change					
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it encourage efficient use of energy? • Is it promoting a sequential approach to the pattern of development? • Will it reduce the emissions of greenhouse gases, including from traffic? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Improving the provision of renewable energy generating schemes • Reducing household energy use and increasing use of energy from renewable sources 			Site does not include renewable energy / energy conservation measures at this stage, nor is it well positioned to minimise car use
		-	-	-	

South Norfolk Gypsy and Traveller DPD – Sustainability Appraisal of Options

Site: Coach Depot (continued)					
Sustainability Appraisal Objectives ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS	Investigating Question or Decision Making Criteria	Assessing the impacts (++ / - - / 0 / ?)			Nature of Effects and Overall Assessment: Quantify where possible. Include justification, comments and recommendations eg. for mitigation measures
		Short term	Medium term	Long term	
ENV4: To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it reduce traffic volume? • Will it reduce traffic congestion? • Will it reduce the effect of HGV traffic on people and environment? • Will it increase the % of journeys using non-car modes? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • % residential development complying with car-parking standards • Encouraging the use of non-car modes of transport 			Not well located to minimise car use, although low levels of traffic along Old Harleston Road do allow for pedestrian and cycle access to village. Traffic levels generated from the site will be low.
		0/-	0/-	0/-	
ENV5: To improve air quality and minimise noise, vibration and light pollution					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it reduce emissions of atmospheric pollution? • Can it improve the ambiance of local areas? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Minimising the instances of particulate, NO2 and ozone pollution • Trying to avoid the need for Air Quality Management Areas 			Noise issues relating to adjoining scaffolding use would be difficult to mitigate against due to the restricted size of the site
		-	-	-	
ENV6: To maintain and enhance the distinctiveness and quality of landscapes, townscapes and the historic environment					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it protect vulnerable and valued landscapes, or mitigate the effects of inappropriate development? • Will the site makes a positive contribution to the local area, and enhances the character of local landscapes? • Will it reduce the amount of derelict, degraded and under-used land? • Will the District's heritage be preserved or enhanced? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Listed buildings and scheduled ancient monuments considered 'at risk' • Conservation Area management plans 			Low visual impact as site is within a disused pit
		0	0	0	

South Norfolk Gypsy and Traveller DPD – Sustainability Appraisal of Options

Site: Coach Depot (continued)					
Sustainability Appraisal Objectives	Investigating Question or Decision Making Criteria	Assessing the impacts (++ / - - / 0 / ?)			Nature of Effects and Overall Assessment: Quantify where possible.
ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS		Short term	Medium term	Long term	Include justification, comments and recommendations eg. for mitigation measures
ENV7: To minimise the loss of undeveloped land and conserve and improve the quality of soil resources					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it avoid the use of productive agricultural land? • Will it minimise the irreversible use of soil resources? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • % of new dwellings built on previously developed land • New dwellings densities and land use efficiency • Contaminated land: its availability and restrictions to new development 			
		+	+	+	Does not involve use of agricultural land
ENV8: To improve water qualities and provide for sustainable sources of supply and sustainable use					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Does it incorporate Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems? • Will it reduce water consumption? • Will the supply of water be efficient in terms of the overall network? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Planning permissions granted contrary to Environment Agency water quality advice • Groundwater resource availability across the District 			
		0	0	0	Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems could be incorporated into the design of the site
ENV9: To minimise the production of waste and increase recycling					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it result in less waste being produced or requiring disposal? • Will it facilitate better community recycling facilities? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reducing the number of kilograms of household waste collected per head • Increasing the % of waste that is recycled or composted 			
		0	0	0	Will have normal refuse disposal arrangements
Summary: SA vs. Environmental Objectives		-	-	-	The site is positive in terms of using a previously developed site, but is weak on other environmental factors such as its location not being well related to services and noise disturbance associated with the adjoining business

South Norfolk Gypsy and Traveller DPD – Sustainability Appraisal of Options

Site: Coach Depot (continued)					
Sustainability Appraisal Objectives	Investigating Question or Decision Making Criteria	Assessing the impacts (++ / - - / 0 / ?)			Nature of Effects and Overall Assessment: Quantify where possible.
SOCIAL FACTORS		Short term	Medium term	Long term	Include justification, comments and recommendations eg. for mitigation measures
S1: To provide everybody with the opportunity to live in a decent, suitable and affordable home					
Decision-making criteria		Indicator-based concerns			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it reduce homelessness? • Will it increase the range and affordability and quality of housing stock for all social groups? 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Affordable housing completions per year against overall housing completions • Reducing the number of unfit private sector dwellings 			
		0	0	0	Does not provide housing for all groups but does provide for a minority group in need
S2: To reduce poverty, inequality and social exclusion					
Decision-making criteria		Indicator-based concerns			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it reduce poverty and social exclusion in those areas most affected? • Will it improve the level of activity available to young people in the District? • Will it support the development of Social Cohesion? 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • % of the population living in the most deprived super output areas of the country • Reducing the numbers of people claiming Job Seekers Allowance 			
		0	+	+	Providing permanent sites should improve social cohesion in the longer term by avoiding damaging unauthorised sites. In terms of activity for younger people, the advantages here are only likely to be felt by site occupiers.
S3: To offer opportunities for all sections of the population to have rewarding and satisfying employment					
Decision-making criteria		Indicator-based concerns			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it reduce unemployment overall? • Will it improve earnings? • Will it improve access to employment and help to create a better housing-jobs balance? 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • % of the population of working age in employment • Improving the level of average earnings 			
		0	0	0	No change

South Norfolk Gypsy and Traveller DPD – Sustainability Appraisal of Options

Site: Coach Depot (continued)					
Sustainability Appraisal Objectives	Investigating Question or Decision Making Criteria	Assessing the impacts (++ / - - / 0 / ?)			Nature of Effects and Overall Assessment: Quantify where possible.
SOCIAL FACTORS		Short term	Medium term	Long term	Include justification, comments and recommendations eg. for mitigation measures
S4: To improve accessibility to essential services, facilities and the workplace, particularly for those most in need					
Decision-making criteria • Will it improve accessibility to key local services?		Indicator-based concerns • Improving the effectiveness of public transport to service public facilities • Improving the level of facilities in local areas for local people to access			
		0/+	0/+	0/+	Some services available in walking distance, with a wider range of services available in Bungay which is reasonably close and accessible by bus
S5: To improve the education and skills of the population overall					
Decision-making criteria • Will it improve qualifications and skills of young people? • Will it improve access to schools / education facilities? • Will it encourage opportunities for vocational skills training and improve local links with the workplace?		Indicator-based concerns • Improving the level of school exam performance • Improving the vocational training amongst the working population			
		?	+	+	By providing permanent sites, it should improve access to education facilities for children of Gypsy and Traveller families.
S6: To improve the health of the population overall					
Decision-making criteria • Will it reduce death rates? • Will it improve access to high quality health facilities? • Will it encourage healthy lifestyles?		Indicator-based concerns • Ability to access GP services within 2 working days • Improving the general life expectancy at birth			
		+	+	+	Permanent sites can lead to benefits such as being able to register with a doctor.

South Norfolk Gypsy and Traveller DPD – Sustainability Appraisal of Options

Site: Coach Depot (continued)					
Sustainability Appraisal Objectives	Investigating Question or Decision Making Criteria	Assessing the impacts (++ / - - / 0 / ?)			Nature of Effects and Overall Assessment: Quantify where possible.
SOCIAL FACTORS		Short term	Medium term	Long term	Include justification, comments and recommendations eg. for mitigation measures
S7: To encourage local community identity and foster mixed communities with co-operative attitudes, helping to reduce anti-social activity					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it reduce actual levels of crime?/ fear of crime? • Will it encourage engagement in community activities? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Levels of crime and the community's general fear of crime • Ability to create mixed and participative communities, eg. through election turnout 			
		?	?	0	Evidence does not suggest a link between sites such as that proposed and increased crime levels. However, it is clear from the consultation that for many people a fear of crime which is likely to be an issue at least in the short term.
S8: To improve the quality of where people live					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it improve satisfaction of people with their neighbourhoods? Will it increase access to and provision of quality open space?		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Improving the quality of green open spaces for the wider population • Residents' perception of the quality of their neighbourhoods as places to live 			
		?	?	?	There too many variables involved regarding neighbourhood satisfaction. There will be no improvement to access or provision of quality open space.
Summary: SA vs. Social Objectives		0	+	+	In the medium to long term the development of permanent sites such as these should reduce social exclusion and improve community cohesion by improving access to education and health facilities whilst reducing conflict associated with unauthorised sites.

South Norfolk Gypsy and Traveller DPD – Sustainability Appraisal of Options

Site: Coach Depot (continued)					
Sustainability Appraisal Objectives	Investigating Question or Decision Making Criteria	Assessing the impacts (++ / - - / 0 / ?)			Nature of Effects and Overall Assessment: Quantify where possible. Include justification, comments and recommendations eg. for mitigation measures
		Short term	Medium term	Long term	
EC1: To encourage sustained economic growth					
Decision-making criteria		Indicator-based concerns			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it support emerging employment uses in the District (e.g. Research, tourism)? • Will it help retain existing businesses? • Will it aid farming diversification? 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Improving floor space used for economic uses, especially in regeneration areas • Facilitation more VAT registered businesses in the District, eg. by providing land 			
		-	0	0	Impact on existing coach business whilst it is relocated
EC2: To encourage and accommodate both indigenous and inward investment promoting a positive image of the District					
Decision-making criteria		Indicator-based concerns			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it provide for a variety of locations for businesses? • Will it add to a ready supply of employment premises? • Is it supporting targeted emerging employment types? 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Assessing the availability of employment land across the District 			
		0	0	0	No impact on employment provision
EC3: To encourage efficient patterns of movement in support of economic growth					
Decision-making criteria		Indicator-based concerns			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it encourage the development of local employment locations? • Is it located so as to minimise the journey to work? • Will it enhance a group of existing employment generating uses? • Will it encourage mixed use or live / work? 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Travel-to-work by mode data • Reducing the reliance on accessing the workplace via private car 			
		-	-	-	Sites are not being designed as mixed use and this site is not well located to minimise journey to work to employment opportunities

South Norfolk Gypsy and Traveller DPD – Sustainability Appraisal of Options

Site: Coach Depot (continued)					
Sustainability Appraisal Objectives	Investigating Question or Decision Making Criteria	Assessing the impacts (++ / - - / 0 / ?)			Nature of Effects and Overall Assessment: Quantify where possible.
ECONOMIC FACTORS		Short term	Medium term	Long term	Include justification, comments and recommendations eg. for mitigation measures
EC4: To improve the social and environmental performance of the economy					
Decision-making criteria		Indicator-based concerns			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Will it offer the opportunity for more flexible working? Will it operate in a way that seeks to minimise impact on the environment? 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Encouraging business to work towards gaining Investors in People accreditation Amount of floor space developed by employment type on previously developed land 			
		0/-	0/-	0/-	Site does not involve employment uses.
EC5: To improve economic performance in rural areas					
Decision-making criteria		Indicator-based concerns			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Will it encourage rural diversification? Will it offer sources of employment in rural areas? Will it improve electronic communication potential? 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Planning permissions granted for business use outside towns Improving the 'jobs density', as number of jobs to every resident of working age 			
		0	0	0	Does not affect employment opportunities
Summary: SA vs. Economic Objectives		0/-	0/-	0/-	Other considerations mean that the site scores negatively on some factors such as its location and absence of any mixed use elements.
Key to Effects Score: ++ Major Positive + Minor Positive 0 Neutral Effect - Minor Negative -- Major Negative ? Uncertain Effect					
Overall Conclusions for site at Coach Depot, Old Harleston Road, Earsham: Despite making use of a previously developed site, the site is scored negatively on environmental factors primarily due to the issue of noise disturbance from the adjoining business. The site does offer the opportunity to positively contribute towards social objectives.					
Recommendations: The issue of potential noise disturbance has led this site to be discounted.					

South Norfolk Gypsy and Traveller DPD – Sustainability Appraisal of Options

South Norfolk Gypsy and Traveller DPD – Sustainability Appraisal of Short-listed Sites

Site: Land north of Old Harleston Road, Earsham					
Sustainability Appraisal Objectives	Investigating Question or Decision Making Criteria	Assessing the impacts (++ / - - / 0 / ?)			Nature of Effects and Overall Assessment:
		Short term	Medium term	Long term	Quantify where possible. Include justification, comments and recommendations e.g. for mitigation measures
ENV1: To maintain and enhance biodiversity, geodiversity, species and habitat quality, and avoid habitat fragmentation					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will sites of nature conservation value be adversely affected by development of the site? • Will development of the site increase the number or diversity of sites of nature conservation interest? • Will it adversely affect sites of geological interest? • Will it contribute to achieving BAP targets? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ability to influence Biodiversity Action Plan progress and protect SSSIs • Limiting detrimental change to areas designated for their intrinsic environmental value 			
		0	0	0	Not on or adjacent to sites with nature conservation value, surface water drainage will need to be controlled to ensure no adverse impact on River Waveney.
ENV2: To limit or reduce vulnerability to climate change, including minimising the risks from flooding					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will development of the site minimise the risk of flooding? • Will it help reduce the vulnerability of agriculture to changes in weather patterns? • Is it promoting sustainable use of flood zones? • Does it encourage habitat relocation or compensation? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reducing the number of planning applications permitted in flood zones • Reducing the vulnerability of planning applications permitted in flood zones 			
		0	0	0	Not in identified flood risk zone, nor any evidence of surface water flooding
ENV3: To maximise the use of renewable energy solutions and reduce contributions to climate change					
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it encourage efficient use of energy? • Is it promoting a sequential approach to the pattern of development? • Will it reduce the emissions of greenhouse gases, including from traffic? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Improving the provision of renewable energy generating schemes • Reducing household energy use and increasing use of energy from renewable sources 			
		-	-	-	Site does not include renewable energy / energy conservation measures at this stage, nor is it well positioned to minimise car use

South Norfolk Gypsy and Traveller DPD – Sustainability Appraisal of Options

Site: Land north of Old Harleston Rd (cont'd)					
Sustainability Appraisal Objectives ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS	Investigating Question or Decision Making Criteria	Assessing the impacts (++ / - - / 0 / ?)			Nature of Effects and Overall Assessment: Quantify where possible. Include justification, comments and recommendations eg. for mitigation measures
		Short term	Medium term	Long term	
ENV4: To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it reduce traffic volume? • Will it reduce traffic congestion? • Will it reduce the effect of HGV traffic on people and environment? • Will it increase the % of journeys using non-car modes? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • % residential development complying with car-parking standards • Encouraging the use of non-car modes of transport 			Not well located to minimise car use, although low levels of traffic along Old Harleston Road do allow for pedestrian and cycle access to village. Traffic levels generated from the site will be low.
		0/-	0/-	0/-	
ENV5: To improve air quality and minimise noise, vibration and light pollution					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it reduce emissions of atmospheric pollution? • Can it improve the ambiance of local areas? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Minimising the instances of particulate, NO2 and ozone pollution • Trying to avoid the need for Air Quality Management Areas 			Noise issues can be controlled through design of site, tenancy agreements, etc
		0	0	0	
ENV6: To maintain and enhance the distinctiveness and quality of landscapes, townscapes and the historic environment					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it protect vulnerable and valued landscapes, or mitigate the effects of inappropriate development? • Will the site makes a positive contribution to the local area, and enhances the character of local landscapes? • Will it reduce the amount of derelict, degraded and under-used land? • Will the District's heritage be preserved or enhanced? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Listed buildings and scheduled ancient monuments considered 'at risk' • Conservation Area management plans 			Visual impact of site can be minimised through landscaping measures
		0/-	0/-	0/-	

South Norfolk Gypsy and Traveller DPD – Sustainability Appraisal of Options

Site: Land north of Old Harleston Rd (cont'd)					
Sustainability Appraisal Objectives ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS	Investigating Question or Decision Making Criteria	Assessing the impacts (++ / - - / 0 / ?)			Nature of Effects and Overall Assessment: Quantify where possible. Include justification, comments and recommendations eg. for mitigation measures
		Short term	Medium term	Long term	
ENV7: To minimise the loss of undeveloped land and conserve and improve the quality of soil resources					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it avoid the use of productive agricultural land? • Will it minimise the irreversible use of soil resources? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • % of new dwellings built on previously developed land • New dwellings densities and land use efficiency • Contaminated land: its availability and restrictions to new development 			Currently the land is in agricultural production
		-	-	-	
ENV8: To improve water qualities and provide for sustainable sources of supply and sustainable use					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Does it incorporate Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems? • Will it reduce water consumption? • Will the supply of water be efficient in terms of the overall network? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Planning permissions granted contrary to Environment Agency water quality advice • Groundwater resource availability across the District 			Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems could be incorporated into the design of the site
		0	0	0	
ENV9: To minimise the production of waste and increase recycling					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it result in less waste being produced or requiring disposal? • Will it facilitate better community recycling facilities? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reducing the number of kilograms of household waste collected per head • Increasing the % of waste that is recycled or composted 			Will have normal refuse disposal arrangements
		0	0	0	
Summary: SA vs. Environmental Objectives		0/-	0/-	0/-	The site scores negatively on some environmental factors as it is not well related to services and is therefore likely to be reliant on private transport, and because the site is on Greenfield land that is currently used for agricultural production.

South Norfolk Gypsy and Traveller DPD – Sustainability Appraisal of Options

Site: Land north of Old Harleston Rd (cont'd)					
Sustainability Appraisal Objectives	Investigating Question or Decision Making Criteria	Assessing the impacts (++ / - - / 0 / ?)			Nature of Effects and Overall Assessment: Quantify where possible.
SOCIAL FACTORS		Short term	Medium term	Long term	Include justification, comments and recommendations eg. for mitigation measures
S1: To provide everybody with the opportunity to live in a decent, suitable and affordable home					
Decision-making criteria		Indicator-based concerns			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it reduce homelessness? • Will it increase the range and affordability and quality of housing stock for all social groups? 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Affordable housing completions per year against overall housing completions • Reducing the number of unfit private sector dwellings 			
		0	0	0	Does not provide housing for all groups but does provide for a minority group in need
S2: To reduce poverty, inequality and social exclusion					
Decision-making criteria		Indicator-based concerns			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it reduce poverty and social exclusion in those areas most affected? • Will it improve the level of activity available to young people in the District? • Will it support the development of Social Cohesion? 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • % of the population living in the most deprived super output areas of the country • Reducing the numbers of people claiming Job Seekers Allowance 			
		0	+	+	Providing permanent sites should improve social cohesion in the longer term by avoiding damaging unauthorised sites. In terms of activity for younger people, the advantages here are only likely to be felt by site occupiers.
S3: To offer opportunities for all sections of the population to have rewarding and satisfying employment					
Decision-making criteria		Indicator-based concerns			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it reduce unemployment overall? • Will it improve earnings? • Will it improve access to employment and help to create a better housing-jobs balance? 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • % of the population of working age in employment • Improving the level of average earnings 			
		0	0	0	No change

South Norfolk Gypsy and Traveller DPD – Sustainability Appraisal of Options

Site: Land north of Old Harleston Rd (cont'd)					
Sustainability Appraisal Objectives	Investigating Question or Decision Making Criteria	Assessing the impacts (++ / - - / 0 / ?)			Nature of Effects and Overall Assessment: Quantify where possible.
SOCIAL FACTORS		Short term	Medium term	Long term	Include justification, comments and recommendations eg. for mitigation measures
S4: To improve accessibility to essential services, facilities and the workplace, particularly for those most in need					
Decision-making criteria • Will it improve accessibility to key local services?		Indicator-based concerns • Improving the effectiveness of public transport to service public facilities • Improving the level of facilities in local areas for local people to access			
		0/+	0/+	0/+	Some services available in walking distance, with a wider range of services available in Bungay which is reasonably close and accessible by bus
S5: To improve the education and skills of the population overall					
Decision-making criteria • Will it improve qualifications and skills of young people? • Will it improve access to schools / education facilities? • Will it encourage opportunities for vocational skills training and improve local links with the workplace?		Indicator-based concerns • Improving the level of school exam performance • Improving the vocational training amongst the working population			
		?	+	+	By providing permanent sites, it should improve access to education facilities for children of Gypsy and Traveller families.
S6: To improve the health of the population overall					
Decision-making criteria • Will it reduce death rates? • Will it improve access to high quality health facilities? • Will it encourage healthy lifestyles?		Indicator-based concerns • Ability to access GP services within 2 working days • Improving the general life expectancy at birth			
		+	+	+	Permanent sites can lead to benefits such as being able to register with a doctor.

South Norfolk Gypsy and Traveller DPD – Sustainability Appraisal of Options

Site: Land north of Old Harleston Rd (cont'd)					
Sustainability Appraisal Objectives	Investigating Question or Decision Making Criteria	Assessing the impacts (++ / - - / 0 / ?)			Nature of Effects and Overall Assessment: Quantify where possible.
SOCIAL FACTORS		Short term	Medium term	Long term	Include justification, comments and recommendations eg. for mitigation measures
S7: To encourage local community identity and foster mixed communities with co-operative attitudes, helping to reduce anti-social activity					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it reduce actual levels of crime?/ fear of crime? • Will it encourage engagement in community activities? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Levels of crime and the community's general fear of crime • Ability to create mixed and participative communities, eg. through election turnout 			
		?	?	0	Evidence does not suggest a link between sites such as that proposed and increased crime levels. However, it is clear from the consultation that for many people a fear of crime which is likely to be an issue at least in the short term.
S8: To improve the quality of where people live					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it improve satisfaction of people with their neighbourhoods? Will it increase access to and provision of quality open space?		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Improving the quality of green open spaces for the wider population • Residents' perception of the quality of their neighbourhoods as places to live 			
		?	?	?	There too many variables involved regarding neighbourhood satisfaction. There will be no improvement to access or provision of quality open space.
Summary: SA vs. Social Objectives		0	+	+	In the medium to long term the development of permanent sites such as these should reduce social exclusion and in the longer term improve community cohesion by improving access to education and health facilities whilst reducing conflict associated with unauthorised sites.

South Norfolk Gypsy and Traveller DPD – Sustainability Appraisal of Options

Site: Land north of Old Harleston Rd (cont'd)					
Sustainability Appraisal Objectives	Investigating Question or Decision Making Criteria	Assessing the impacts (++ / - - / 0 / ?)			Nature of Effects and Overall Assessment: Quantify where possible. Include justification, comments and recommendations eg. for mitigation measures
		Short term	Medium term	Long term	
EC1: To encourage sustained economic growth					
Decision-making criteria		Indicator-based concerns			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it support emerging employment uses in the District (e.g. Research, tourism)? • Will it help retain existing businesses? • Will it aid farming diversification? 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Improving floor space used for economic uses, especially in regeneration areas • Facilitation more VAT registered businesses in the District, eg. by providing land 			
		0	0	0	No impact on local businesses / farm diversification
EC2: To encourage and accommodate both indigenous and inward investment promoting a positive image of the District					
Decision-making criteria		Indicator-based concerns			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it provide for a variety of locations for businesses? • Will it add to a ready supply of employment premises? • Is it supporting targeted emerging employment types? 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Assessing the availability of employment land across the District 			
		0	0	0	No impact on employment provision
EC3: To encourage efficient patterns of movement in support of economic growth					
Decision-making criteria		Indicator-based concerns			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it encourage the development of local employment locations? • Is it located so as to minimise the journey to work? • Will it enhance a group of existing employment generating uses? • Will it encourage mixed use or live / work? 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Travel-to-work by mode data • Reducing the reliance on accessing the workplace via private car 			
		-	-	-	Sites are not being designed as mixed use and this site is not well located to minimise journey to work to employment opportunities

South Norfolk Gypsy and Traveller DPD – Sustainability Appraisal of Options

Site: Land north of Old Harleston Rd (cont'd)					
Sustainability Appraisal Objectives	Investigating Question or Decision Making Criteria	Assessing the impacts (++ / - - / 0 / ?)			Nature of Effects and Overall Assessment: Quantify where possible. Include justification, comments and recommendations eg. for mitigation measures
		Short term	Medium term	Long term	
EC4: To improve the social and environmental performance of the economy					
Decision-making criteria		Indicator-based concerns			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Will it offer the opportunity for more flexible working? Will it operate in a way that seeks to minimise impact on the environment? 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Encouraging business to work towards gaining Investors in People accreditation Amount of floor space developed by employment type on previously developed land 			
		0	0	0	The site will not be used for employment purposes
EC5: To improve economic performance in rural areas					
Decision-making criteria		Indicator-based concerns			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Will it encourage rural diversification? Will it offer sources of employment in rural areas? Will it improve electronic communication potential? 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Planning permissions granted for business use outside towns Improving the 'jobs density', as number of jobs to every resident of working age 			
		0	0	0	Does not affect employment opportunities
Summary: SA vs. Economic Objectives		0/-	0/-	0/-	Other considerations mean that the site scores negatively on some factors such as its location and absence of any mixed use elements.
Key to Effects Score: ++ Major Positive + Minor Positive 0 Neutral Effect - Minor Negative -- Major Negative ? Uncertain Effect					
Overall Conclusions for site at Old Harleston Road, Earsham: The site offers potential to achieve social objectives in improving community cohesion and education and health provision for site occupiers. However it is not ideally located to achieve environmental factors or proximity to employment facilities.					
Recommendations: Whilst it is recognised that the site has some shortcomings, it is considered that taking into account other issues it is the most suitable site within the A143 / A1066 corridor.					

South Norfolk Gypsy and Traveller DPD – Sustainability Appraisal of Options

South Norfolk Gypsy and Traveller DPD – Sustainability Appraisal of Short-listed Sites

Site: Site at Scole Road, Brockdish					
Sustainability Appraisal Objectives	Investigating Question or Decision Making Criteria	Assessing the impacts (++ / - - / 0 / ?)			Nature of Effects and Overall Assessment: Quantify where possible. Include justification, comments and recommendations e.g. for mitigation measures
		Short term	Medium term	Long term	
ENV1: To maintain and enhance biodiversity, geodiversity, species and habitat quality, and avoid habitat fragmentation					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will sites of nature conservation value be adversely affected by development of the site? • Will development of the site increase the number or diversity of sites of nature conservation interest? • Will it adversely affect sites of geological interest? • Will it contribute to achieving BAP targets? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ability to influence Biodiversity Action Plan progress and protect SSSIs • Limiting detrimental change to areas designated for their intrinsic environmental value 			
		0	0	0	Not on or adjacent to sites with nature conservation value, will not affect the geology of the area.
ENV2: To limit or reduce vulnerability to climate change, including minimising the risks from flooding					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will development of the site minimise the risk of flooding? • Will it help reduce the vulnerability of agriculture to changes in weather patterns? • Is it promoting sustainable use of flood zones? • Does it encourage habitat relocation or compensation? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reducing the number of planning applications permitted in flood zones • Reducing the vulnerability of planning applications permitted in flood zones 			
		0	0	0	Not in identified flood risk zone, nor any evidence of surface water flooding
ENV3: To maximise the use of renewable energy solutions and reduce contributions to climate change					
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it encourage efficient use of energy? • Is it promoting a sequential approach to the pattern of development? • Will it reduce the emissions of greenhouse gases, including from traffic? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Improving the provision of renewable energy generating schemes • Reducing household energy use and increasing use of energy from renewable sources 			
		-	-	-	Site does not include renewable energy / energy conservation measures at this stage, nor is it well positioned to minimise car use

South Norfolk Gypsy and Traveller DPD – Sustainability Appraisal of Options

Site: Scole Road, Brockdish (continued)					
Sustainability Appraisal Objectives ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS	Investigating Question or Decision Making Criteria	Assessing the impacts (++ / - - / 0 / ?)			Nature of Effects and Overall Assessment: Quantify where possible. Include justification, comments and recommendations eg. for mitigation measures
		Short term	Medium term	Long term	
ENV4: To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it reduce traffic volume? • Will it reduce traffic congestion? • Will it reduce the effect of HGV traffic on people and environment? • Will it increase the % of journeys using non-car modes? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • % residential development complying with car-parking standards • Encouraging the use of non-car modes of transport 			
		0/-	0/-	0/-	Not well located to minimise car use, but traffic levels generated from the site will be low
ENV5: To improve air quality and minimise noise, vibration and light pollution					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it reduce emissions of atmospheric pollution? • Can it improve the ambiance of local areas? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Minimising the instances of particulate, NO2 and ozone pollution • Trying to avoid the need for Air Quality Management Areas 			
		0	0	0	Noise issues can be controlled through design of site, tenancy agreements, etc
ENV6: To maintain and enhance the distinctiveness and quality of landscapes, townscapes and the historic environment					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it protect vulnerable and valued landscapes, or mitigate the effects of inappropriate development? • Will the site makes a positive contribution to the local area, and enhances the character of local landscapes? • Will it reduce the amount of derelict, degraded and under-used land? • Will the District's heritage be preserved or enhanced? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Listed buildings and scheduled ancient monuments considered 'at risk' • Conservation Area management plans 			
		0/-	0/-	0/-	Visual impact of site can be minimised through landscaping measures

South Norfolk Gypsy and Traveller DPD – Sustainability Appraisal of Options

Site: Scole Road, Brockdish (continued)					
Sustainability Appraisal Objectives	Investigating Question or Decision Making Criteria	Assessing the impacts (++ / - - / 0 / ?)			Nature of Effects and Overall Assessment: Quantify where possible.
ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS		Short term	Medium term	Long term	Include justification, comments and recommendations eg. for mitigation measures
ENV7: To minimise the loss of undeveloped land and conserve and improve the quality of soil resources					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it avoid the use of productive agricultural land? • Will it minimise the irreversible use of soil resources? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • % of new dwellings built on previously developed land • New dwellings densities and land use efficiency • Contaminated land: its availability and restrictions to new development 			
		-	-	-	Currently the land is in agricultural production
ENV8: To improve water qualities and provide for sustainable sources of supply and sustainable use					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Does it incorporate Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems? • Will it reduce water consumption? • Will the supply of water be efficient in terms of the overall network? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Planning permissions granted contrary to Environment Agency water quality advice • Groundwater resource availability across the District 			
		0	0	0	Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems could be incorporated into the design of the site
ENV9: To minimise the production of waste and increase recycling					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it result in less waste being produced or requiring disposal? • Will it facilitate better community recycling facilities? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reducing the number of kilograms of household waste collected per head • Increasing the % of waste that is recycled or composted 			
		0	0	0	Will have normal refuse disposal arrangements
Summary: SA vs. Environmental Objectives		0/-	0/-	0/-	Site scores poorly on environmental factors due to the site's location being poorly related to services and on Greenfield land that is currently in agricultural use

South Norfolk Gypsy and Traveller DPD – Sustainability Appraisal of Options

Site: Scole Road, Brockdish (continued)					
Sustainability Appraisal Objectives	Investigating Question or Decision Making Criteria	Assessing the impacts (++ / - - / 0 / ?)			Nature of Effects and Overall Assessment: Quantify where possible.
SOCIAL FACTORS		Short term	Medium term	Long term	Include justification, comments and recommendations eg. for mitigation measures
S1: To provide everybody with the opportunity to live in a decent, suitable and affordable home					
Decision-making criteria		Indicator-based concerns			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it reduce homelessness? • Will it increase the range and affordability and quality of housing stock for all social groups? 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Affordable housing completions per year against overall housing completions • Reducing the number of unfit private sector dwellings 			
		0	0	0	Does not provide housing for all groups but does provide for a minority group in need
S2: To reduce poverty, inequality and social exclusion					
Decision-making criteria		Indicator-based concerns			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it reduce poverty and social exclusion in those areas most affected? • Will it improve the level of activity available to young people in the District? • Will it support the development of Social Cohesion? 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • % of the population living in the most deprived super output areas of the country • Reducing the numbers of people claiming Job Seekers Allowance 			
		0	+	+	Providing permanent sites should improve social cohesion in the longer term by avoiding damaging unauthorised sites. In terms of activity for younger people, the advantages here are only likely to be felt by site occupiers.
S3: To offer opportunities for all sections of the population to have rewarding and satisfying employment					
Decision-making criteria		Indicator-based concerns			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it reduce unemployment overall? • Will it improve earnings? • Will it improve access to employment and help to create a better housing-jobs balance? 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • % of the population of working age in employment • Improving the level of average earnings 			
		0	0	0	No change

South Norfolk Gypsy and Traveller DPD – Sustainability Appraisal of Options

Site: Scole Road, Brockdish (continued)					
Sustainability Appraisal Objectives	Investigating Question or Decision Making Criteria	Assessing the impacts (++ / - - / 0 / ?)			Nature of Effects and Overall Assessment: Quantify where possible. Include justification, comments and recommendations eg. for mitigation measures
		Short term	Medium term	Long term	
S4: To improve accessibility to essential services, facilities and the workplace, particularly for those most in need					
Decision-making criteria • Will it improve accessibility to key local services?		Indicator-based concerns • Improving the effectiveness of public transport to service public facilities • Improving the level of facilities in local areas for local people to access			
		0	0	0	
S5: To improve the education and skills of the population overall					
Decision-making criteria • Will it improve qualifications and skills of young people? • Will it improve access to schools / education facilities? • Will it encourage opportunities for vocational skills training and improve local links with the workplace?		Indicator-based concerns • Improving the level of school exam performance • Improving the vocational training amongst the working population			By providing permanent sites, it should improve access to education facilities for children of Gypsy and Traveller families.
		?	+	+	
S6: To improve the health of the population overall					
Decision-making criteria • Will it reduce death rates? • Will it improve access to high quality health facilities? • Will it encourage healthy lifestyles?		Indicator-based concerns • Ability to access GP services within 2 working days • Improving the general life expectancy at birth			Permanent sites can lead to benefits such as being able to register with a doctor.
		+	+	+	

South Norfolk Gypsy and Traveller DPD – Sustainability Appraisal of Options

Site: Scole Road, Brockdish (continued)					
Sustainability Appraisal Objectives	Investigating Question or Decision Making Criteria	Assessing the impacts (++ / - - / 0 / ?)			Nature of Effects and Overall Assessment: Quantify where possible.
SOCIAL FACTORS		Short term	Medium term	Long term	Include justification, comments and recommendations eg. for mitigation measures
S7: To encourage local community identity and foster mixed communities with co-operative attitudes, helping to reduce anti-social activity					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it reduce actual levels of crime?/ fear of crime? • Will it encourage engagement in community activities? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Levels of crime and the community's general fear of crime • Ability to create mixed and participative communities, eg. through election turnout 			
		?	?	0	Evidence does not suggest a link between sites such as that proposed and increased crime levels. However, it is clear from the consultation that for many people a fear of crime which is likely to be an issue at least in the short term.
S8: To improve the quality of where people live					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it improve satisfaction of people with their neighbourhoods? Will it increase access to and provision of quality open space?		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Improving the quality of green open spaces for the wider population • Residents' perception of the quality of their neighbourhoods as places to live 			
		?	?	?	There too many variables involved regarding neighbourhood satisfaction. There will be no improvement to access or provision of quality open space.
Summary: SA vs. Social Objectives		0	+	+	In the medium to long term the development of permanent sites such as these should reduce social exclusion and improve community cohesion by improving access to education and health facilities whilst reducing conflict associated with unauthorised sites.

South Norfolk Gypsy and Traveller DPD – Sustainability Appraisal of Options

Site: Scole Road, Brockdish (continued)					
Sustainability Appraisal Objectives	Investigating Question or Decision Making Criteria	Assessing the impacts (++ / - - / 0 / ?)			Nature of Effects and Overall Assessment: Quantify where possible. Include justification, comments and recommendations eg. for mitigation measures
		Short term	Medium term	Long term	
EC1: To encourage sustained economic growth					
Decision-making criteria		Indicator-based concerns			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it support emerging employment uses in the District (e.g. Research, tourism)? • Will it help retain existing businesses? • Will it aid farming diversification? 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Improving floor space used for economic uses, especially in regeneration areas • Facilitation more VAT registered businesses in the District, eg. by providing land 			
		0	0	0	No impact on local businesses / farm diversification
EC2: To encourage and accommodate both indigenous and inward investment promoting a positive image of the District					
Decision-making criteria		Indicator-based concerns			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it provide for a variety of locations for businesses? • Will it add to a ready supply of employment premises? • Is it supporting targeted emerging employment types? 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Assessing the availability of employment land across the District 			
		0	0	0	No impact on employment provision
EC3: To encourage efficient patterns of movement in support of economic growth					
Decision-making criteria		Indicator-based concerns			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it encourage the development of local employment locations? • Is it located so as to minimise the journey to work? • Will it enhance a group of existing employment generating uses? • Will it encourage mixed use or live / work? 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Travel-to-work by mode data • Reducing the reliance on accessing the workplace via private car 			
		-	-	-	Sites are not being designed as mixed use and this site is not well located to minimise journey to work to employment opportunities

South Norfolk Gypsy and Traveller DPD – Sustainability Appraisal of Options

Site: Scole Road, Brockdish (continued)					
Sustainability Appraisal Objectives	Investigating Question or Decision Making Criteria	Assessing the impacts (++ / - - / 0 / ?)			Nature of Effects and Overall Assessment: Quantify where possible.
ECONOMIC FACTORS		Short term	Medium term	Long term	Include justification, comments and recommendations eg. for mitigation measures
EC4: To improve the social and environmental performance of the economy					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Will it offer the opportunity for more flexible working? Will it operate in a way that seeks to minimise impact on the environment? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Encouraging business to work towards gaining Investors in People accreditation Amount of floor space developed by employment type on previously developed land 			
		0/-	0/-	0/-	
EC5: To improve economic performance in rural areas					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Will it encourage rural diversification? Will it offer sources of employment in rural areas? Will it improve electronic communication potential? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Planning permissions granted for business use outside towns Improving the 'jobs density', as number of jobs to every resident of working age 			
		0	0	0	Does not affect employment opportunities
Summary: SA vs. Economic Objectives		0/-	0/-	0/-	Other considerations mean that the site scores negatively on some factors such as its location and absence of any mixed use elements.
Key to Effects Score: ++ Major Positive + Minor Positive 0 Neutral Effect - Minor Negative -- Major Negative ? Uncertain Effect					
Overall Conclusions for site at Scole Road, Brockdish: The site scores poorly on environmental factors but can assist in achieving social objectives through improving community cohesion and access to education / health services.					
Recommendations: Other issues, combined with the poor environmental factors, mean this site is not considered suitable to be recommended as an allocated site					

South Norfolk Gypsy and Traveller DPD – Sustainability Appraisal of Options

South Norfolk Gypsy and Traveller DPD – Sustainability Appraisal of Short-listed Sites

Site: Chepore Lane, Sutton					
Sustainability Appraisal Objectives	Investigating Question or Decision Making Criteria	Assessing the impacts (++ / - - / 0 / ?)			Nature of Effects and Overall Assessment: Quantify where possible. Include justification, comments and recommendations e.g. for mitigation measures
		Short term	Medium term	Long term	
ENV1: To maintain and enhance biodiversity, geodiversity, species and habitat quality, and avoid habitat fragmentation					
Decision-making criteria		Indicator-based concerns			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will sites of nature conservation value be adversely affected by development of the site? • Will development of the site increase the number or diversity of sites of nature conservation interest? • Will it adversely affect sites of geological interest? • Will it contribute to achieving BAP targets? 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ability to influence Biodiversity Action Plan progress and protect SSSIs • Limiting detrimental change to areas designated for their intrinsic environmental value 			
		0	0	0	Not on or adjacent to sites with nature conservation value.
ENV2: To limit or reduce vulnerability to climate change, including minimising the risks from flooding					
Decision-making criteria		Indicator-based concerns			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will development of the site minimise the risk of flooding? • Will it help reduce the vulnerability of agriculture to changes in weather patterns? • Is it promoting sustainable use of flood zones? • Does it encourage habitat relocation or compensation? 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reducing the number of planning applications permitted in flood zones • Reducing the vulnerability of planning applications permitted in flood zones 			
		0	0	0	Not in identified flood risk zone, nor any evidence of surface water flooding
ENV3: To maximise the use of renewable energy solutions and reduce contributions to climate change					
Decision-making criteria		Indicator-based concerns			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it encourage efficient use of energy? • Is it promoting a sequential approach to the pattern of development? • Will it reduce the emissions of greenhouse gases, including from traffic? 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Improving the provision of renewable energy generating schemes • Reducing household energy use and increasing use of energy from renewable sources 			
		-	-	-	Site does not include renewable energy / energy conservation measures at this stage, nor is it well positioned to minimise car use

South Norfolk Gypsy and Traveller DPD – Sustainability Appraisal of Options

Site: Chepore Lane, Sutton (continued)					
Sustainability Appraisal Objectives	Investigating Question or Decision Making Criteria	Assessing the impacts (++ / - - / 0 / ?)			Nature of Effects and Overall Assessment: Quantify where possible. Include justification, comments and recommendations eg. for mitigation measures
		Short term	Medium term	Long term	
ENV4: To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it reduce traffic volume? • Will it reduce traffic congestion? • Will it reduce the effect of HGV traffic on people and environment? • Will it increase the % of journeys using non-car modes? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • % residential development complying with car-parking standards • Encouraging the use of non-car modes of transport 			
		0/-	0/-	0/-	Not well located to minimise car use. Traffic levels generated from the site will be low. There is, however, a regular bus service near the site.
ENV5: To improve air quality and minimise noise, vibration and light pollution					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it reduce emissions of atmospheric pollution? • Can it improve the ambiance of local areas? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Minimising the instances of particulate, NO2 and ozone pollution • Trying to avoid the need for Air Quality Management Areas 			
		0	0	0	Noise issues can be controlled through design of site, tenancy agreements, etc
ENV6: To maintain and enhance the distinctiveness and quality of landscapes, townscapes and the historic environment					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it protect vulnerable and valued landscapes, or mitigate the effects of inappropriate development? • Will the site makes a positive contribution to the local area, and enhances the character of local landscapes? • Will it reduce the amount of derelict, degraded and under-used land? • Will the District's heritage be preserved or enhanced? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Listed buildings and scheduled ancient monuments considered 'at risk' • Conservation Area management plans 			
		0/-	0/-	0/-	Landscaping measures can be used to minimise the site's visual impact

South Norfolk Gypsy and Traveller DPD – Sustainability Appraisal of Options

Site: Chepore Lane, Sutton (continued)					
Sustainability Appraisal Objectives	Investigating Question or Decision Making Criteria	Assessing the impacts (++ / - - / 0 / ?)			Nature of Effects and Overall Assessment: Quantify where possible.
ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS		Short term	Medium term	Long term	Include justification, comments and recommendations eg. for mitigation measures
ENV7: To minimise the loss of undeveloped land and conserve and improve the quality of soil resources					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it avoid the use of productive agricultural land? • Will it minimise the irreversible use of soil resources? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • % of new dwellings built on previously developed land • New dwellings densities and land use efficiency • Contaminated land: its availability and restrictions to new development 			
		-	-	-	Would involve the loss of land currently in agricultural use
ENV8: To improve water qualities and provide for sustainable sources of supply and sustainable use					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Does it incorporate Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems? • Will it reduce water consumption? • Will the supply of water be efficient in terms of the overall network? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Planning permissions granted contrary to Environment Agency water quality advice • Groundwater resource availability across the District 			
		0	0	0	Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems could be incorporated into the design of the site
ENV9: To minimise the production of waste and increase recycling					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it result in less waste being produced or requiring disposal? • Will it facilitate better community recycling facilities? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reducing the number of kilograms of household waste collected per head • Increasing the % of waste that is recycled or composted 			
		0	0	0	Will have normal refuse disposal arrangements including recycling.
Summary: SA vs. Environmental Objectives		0/-	0/-	0/-	Whilst the site would not have a significantly adverse impact on these factors, the site does score negatively in terms of its relationship to services and subsequent likely reliance on private transport, and that the site is also a Greenfield site currently available for agricultural use.

South Norfolk Gypsy and Traveller DPD – Sustainability Appraisal of Options

Site: Chepore Lane, Sutton (continued)					
Sustainability Appraisal Objectives	Investigating Question or Decision Making Criteria	Assessing the impacts (++ / - - / 0 / ?)			Nature of Effects and Overall Assessment: Quantify where possible.
SOCIAL FACTORS		Short term	Medium term	Long term	Include justification, comments and recommendations eg. for mitigation measures
S1: To provide everybody with the opportunity to live in a decent, suitable and affordable home					
Decision-making criteria		Indicator-based concerns			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Will it reduce homelessness? Will it increase the range and affordability and quality of housing stock for all social groups? 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Affordable housing completions per year against overall housing completions Reducing the number of unfit private sector dwellings 			
		0	0	0	Does not provide housing for all groups but does provide for a minority group in need
S2: To reduce poverty, inequality and social exclusion					
Decision-making criteria		Indicator-based concerns			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Will it reduce poverty and social exclusion in those areas most affected? Will it improve the level of activity available to young people in the District? Will it support the development of Social Cohesion? 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> % of the population living in the most deprived super output areas of the country Reducing the numbers of people claiming Job Seekers Allowance 			
		0	+	+	Providing permanent sites should improve social cohesion in the longer term by avoiding unauthorised sites that can be contentious. In terms of activity for younger people, the advantages here are only likely to be felt by site occupiers.
S3: To offer opportunities for all sections of the population to have rewarding and satisfying employment					
Decision-making criteria		Indicator-based concerns			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Will it reduce unemployment overall? Will it improve earnings? Will it improve access to employment and help to create a better housing-jobs balance? 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> % of the population of working age in employment Improving the level of average earnings 			
		0	0	0	No change

South Norfolk Gypsy and Traveller DPD – Sustainability Appraisal of Options

Site: Chepore Lane, Sutton (continued)					
Sustainability Appraisal Objectives	Investigating Question or Decision Making Criteria	Assessing the impacts (++ / - - / 0 / ?)			Nature of Effects and Overall Assessment: Quantify where possible.
SOCIAL FACTORS		Short term	Medium term	Long term	Include justification, comments and recommendations eg. for mitigation measures
S4: To improve accessibility to essential services, facilities and the workplace, particularly for those most in need					
Decision-making criteria • Will it improve accessibility to key local services?		Indicator-based concerns • Improving the effectiveness of public transport to service public facilities • Improving the level of facilities in local areas for local people to access			
		0	0	0	Few services available in walking distance, although a bus service is available to access a wide range of services in Wymondham, Attleborough and Norwich.
S5: To improve the education and skills of the population overall					
Decision-making criteria • Will it improve qualifications and skills of young people? • Will it improve access to schools / education facilities? • Will it encourage opportunities for vocational skills training and improve local links with the workplace?		Indicator-based concerns • Improving the level of school exam performance • Improving the vocational training amongst the working population			
		?	+	+	By providing permanent sites, it should improve access to regular education facilities for children of Gypsy and Traveller families. This should enable more stability in education provision.
S6: To improve the health of the population overall					
Decision-making criteria • Will it reduce death rates? • Will it improve access to high quality health facilities? • Will it encourage healthy lifestyles?		Indicator-based concerns • Ability to access GP services within 2 working days • Improving the general life expectancy at birth			
		+	+	+	Permanent sites can lead to benefits such as being able to register with a doctor.

South Norfolk Gypsy and Traveller DPD – Sustainability Appraisal of Options

Site: Chepore Lane, Sutton (continued)					
Sustainability Appraisal Objectives	Investigating Question or Decision Making Criteria	Assessing the impacts (++ / - - / 0 / ?)			Nature of Effects and Overall Assessment: Quantify where possible.
SOCIAL FACTORS		Short term	Medium term	Long term	Include justification, comments and recommendations eg. for mitigation measures
S7: To encourage local community identity and foster mixed communities with co-operative attitudes, helping to reduce anti-social activity					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it reduce actual levels of crime?/ fear of crime? • Will it encourage engagement in community activities? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Levels of crime and the community's general fear of crime • Ability to create mixed and participative communities, eg. through election turnout 			
		?	?	0	Evidence does not suggest a link between sites such as that proposed and increased crime levels. However, it is clear from the consultation that for many people a fear of crime which is likely to be an issue at least in the short term.
S8: To improve the quality of where people live					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it improve satisfaction of people with their neighbourhoods? Will it increase access to and provision of quality open space?		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Improving the quality of green open spaces for the wider population • Residents' perception of the quality of their neighbourhoods as places to live 			
		?	?	?	There too many variables involved regarding neighbourhood satisfaction. There will be no improvement to access or provision of quality open space.
Summary: SA vs. Social Objectives		0	+	+	In the medium to long term the development of permanent sites such as these should reduce social exclusion and in the long term improve community cohesion by improving access to education and health facilities whilst reducing conflict associated with unauthorised sites.

South Norfolk Gypsy and Traveller DPD – Sustainability Appraisal of Options

Site: Chepore Lane, Sutton (continued)					
Sustainability Appraisal Objectives	Investigating Question or Decision Making Criteria	Assessing the impacts (++ / - - / 0 / ?)			Nature of Effects and Overall Assessment: Quantify where possible. Include justification, comments and recommendations eg. for mitigation measures
		Short term	Medium term	Long term	
EC1: To encourage sustained economic growth					
Decision-making criteria		Indicator-based concerns			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it support emerging employment uses in the District (e.g. Research, tourism)? • Will it help retain existing businesses? • Will it aid farming diversification? 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Improving floor space used for economic uses, especially in regeneration areas • Facilitation more VAT registered businesses in the District, eg. by providing land 			
		0	0	0	No impact on existing businesses / farm diversification
EC2: To encourage and accommodate both indigenous and inward investment promoting a positive image of the District					
Decision-making criteria		Indicator-based concerns			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it provide for a variety of locations for businesses? • Will it add to a ready supply of employment premises? • Is it supporting targeted emerging employment types? 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Assessing the availability of employment land across the District 			
		0	0	0	No impact on employment provision
EC3: To encourage efficient patterns of movement in support of economic growth					
Decision-making criteria		Indicator-based concerns			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it encourage the development of local employment locations? • Is it located so as to minimise the journey to work? • Will it enhance a group of existing employment generating uses? • Will it encourage mixed use or live / work? 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Travel-to-work by mode data • Reducing the reliance on accessing the workplace via private car 			
		-	-	-	The sites will not being designed as mixed use and this site is not well located to minimise journey to work to employment opportunities

South Norfolk Gypsy and Traveller DPD – Sustainability Appraisal of Options

Site: Chepore Lane, Sutton (continued)					
Sustainability Appraisal Objectives	Investigating Question or Decision Making Criteria	Assessing the impacts (++ / - - / 0 / ?)			Nature of Effects and Overall Assessment: Quantify where possible.
ECONOMIC FACTORS		Short term	Medium term	Long term	Include justification, comments and recommendations eg. for mitigation measures
EC4: To improve the social and environmental performance of the economy					
Decision-making criteria		Indicator-based concerns			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Will it offer the opportunity for more flexible working? Will it operate in a way that seeks to minimise impact on the environment? 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Encouraging business to work towards gaining Investors in People accreditation Amount of floor space developed by employment type on previously developed land 			
		0	0	0	The site will not involve employment on site.
EC5: To improve economic performance in rural areas					
Decision-making criteria		Indicator-based concerns			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Will it encourage rural diversification? Will it offer sources of employment in rural areas? Will it improve electronic communication potential? 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Planning permissions granted for business use outside towns Improving the 'jobs density', as number of jobs to every resident of working age 			
		0	0	0	Does not affect employment opportunities
Summary: SA vs. Economic Objectives		0/-	0/-	0/-	Other considerations mean that the site scores negatively on some factors such as its location and absence of any mixed use elements.
Key to Effects Score: ++ Major Positive + Minor Positive 0 Neutral Effect - Minor Negative -- Major Negative ? Uncertain Effect					
Overall Conclusions for site Chepore Lane, Sutton: The site scores poorly on some environmental factors such as its location in relation to services and use of a greenfield site currently in use for agricultural production. However the site does offer the potential to achieve social objectives such as improving community cohesion and access to education / health services					
Recommendations: Although the site does not score well on environmental factors, there are no other significant concerns with the site. However, a more suitable site has been selected on land to the west of Stanfield Oil Depot.					

South Norfolk Gypsy and Traveller DPD – Sustainability Appraisal of Options

South Norfolk Gypsy and Traveller DPD – Sustainability Appraisal of Short-listed Sites

Site: Station Road, Spooner Row					
Sustainability Appraisal Objectives	Investigating Question or Decision Making Criteria	Assessing the impacts (++ / - - / 0 / ?)			Nature of Effects and Overall Assessment: Quantify where possible. Include justification, comments and recommendations e.g. for mitigation measures
		Short term	Medium term	Long term	
ENV1: To maintain and enhance biodiversity, geodiversity, species and habitat quality, and avoid habitat fragmentation					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will sites of nature conservation value be adversely affected by development of the site? • Will development of the site increase the number or diversity of sites of nature conservation interest? • Will it adversely affect sites of geological interest? • Will it contribute to achieving BAP targets? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ability to influence Biodiversity Action Plan progress and protect SSSIs • Limiting detrimental change to areas designated for their intrinsic environmental value 			
		0	0	0	Not on or adjacent to sites with nature conservation value.
ENV2: To limit or reduce vulnerability to climate change, including minimising the risks from flooding					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will development of the site minimise the risk of flooding? • Will it help reduce the vulnerability of agriculture to changes in weather patterns? • Is it promoting sustainable use of flood zones? • Does it encourage habitat relocation or compensation? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reducing the number of planning applications permitted in flood zones • Reducing the vulnerability of planning applications permitted in flood zones 			
		0	0	0	Not in identified flood risk zone, nor any evidence of surface water flooding
ENV3: To maximise the use of renewable energy solutions and reduce contributions to climate change					
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it encourage efficient use of energy? • Is it promoting a sequential approach to the pattern of development? • Will it reduce the emissions of greenhouse gases, including from traffic? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Improving the provision of renewable energy generating schemes • Reducing household energy use and increasing use of energy from renewable sources 			
		-	-	-	Site does not include renewable energy / energy conservation measures at this stage, nor is it well positioned to minimise car use

South Norfolk Gypsy and Traveller DPD – Sustainability Appraisal of Options

Site: Station Rd, Spooner Row (cont'd)					
Sustainability Appraisal Objectives	Investigating Question or Decision Making Criteria	Assessing the impacts (++ / - - / 0 / ?)			Nature of Effects and Overall Assessment: Quantify where possible.
ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS		Short term	Medium term	Long term	Include justification, comments and recommendations eg. for mitigation measures
ENV4: To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it reduce traffic volume? • Will it reduce traffic congestion? • Will it reduce the effect of HGV traffic on people and environment? • Will it increase the % of journeys using non-car modes? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • % residential development complying with car-parking standards • Encouraging the use of non-car modes of transport 			
		0/-	0/-	0/-	Not well located to minimise car use. Traffic levels generated from the site will be low.
ENV5: To improve air quality and minimise noise, vibration and light pollution					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it reduce emissions of atmospheric pollution? • Can it improve the ambiance of local areas? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Minimising the instances of particulate, NO2 and ozone pollution • Trying to avoid the need for Air Quality Management Areas 			
		0	0	0	Noise issues can be controlled through design of site, tenancy agreements, etc
ENV6: To maintain and enhance the distinctiveness and quality of landscapes, townscapes and the historic environment					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it protect vulnerable and valued landscapes, or mitigate the effects of inappropriate development? • Will the site makes a positive contribution to the local area, and enhances the character of local landscapes? • Will it reduce the amount of derelict, degraded and under-used land? • Will the District's heritage be preserved or enhanced? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Listed buildings and scheduled ancient monuments considered 'at risk' • Conservation Area management plans 			
		0/-	0/-	0/-	Landscaping measures can be used to minimise the site's visual impact

South Norfolk Gypsy and Traveller DPD – Sustainability Appraisal of Options

Site: Station Rd, Spooner Row (cont'd)					
Sustainability Appraisal Objectives	Investigating Question or Decision Making Criteria	Assessing the impacts (++ / - - / 0 / ?)			Nature of Effects and Overall Assessment: Quantify where possible.
ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS		Short term	Medium term	Long term	Include justification, comments and recommendations eg. for mitigation measures
ENV7: To minimise the loss of undeveloped land and conserve and improve the quality of soil resources					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it avoid the use of productive agricultural land? • Will it minimise the irreversible use of soil resources? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • % of new dwellings built on previously developed land • New dwellings densities and land use efficiency • Contaminated land: its availability and restrictions to new development 			
		-	-	-	Would involve the loss of land currently in agricultural use
ENV8: To improve water qualities and provide for sustainable sources of supply and sustainable use					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Does it incorporate Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems? • Will it reduce water consumption? • Will the supply of water be efficient in terms of the overall network? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Planning permissions granted contrary to Environment Agency water quality advice • Groundwater resource availability across the District 			
		0	0	0	Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems could be incorporated into the design of the site
ENV9: To minimise the production of waste and increase recycling					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it result in less waste being produced or requiring disposal? • Will it facilitate better community recycling facilities? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reducing the number of kilograms of household waste collected per head • Increasing the % of waste that is recycled or composted 			
		0	0	0	Will have normal refuse disposal arrangements
Summary: SA vs. Environmental Objectives		0/-	0/-	0/-	Whilst the site would not have a significantly adverse impact on these factors, the site does score negatively in terms of its relationship to services and subsequent likely reliance on private transport, and that the site is also a Greenfield site currently available for agricultural use.

South Norfolk Gypsy and Traveller DPD – Sustainability Appraisal of Options

Site: Station Rd, Spooner Row (cont'd)					
Sustainability Appraisal Objectives	Investigating Question or Decision Making Criteria	Assessing the impacts (++ / - - / 0 / ?)			Nature of Effects and Overall Assessment: Quantify where possible.
SOCIAL FACTORS		Short term	Medium term	Long term	Include justification, comments and recommendations eg. for mitigation measures
S1: To provide everybody with the opportunity to live in a decent, suitable and affordable home					
Decision-making criteria		Indicator-based concerns			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Will it reduce homelessness? Will it increase the range and affordability and quality of housing stock for all social groups? 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Affordable housing completions per year against overall housing completions Reducing the number of unfit private sector dwellings 			
		0	0	0	Does not provide housing for all groups but does provide for a minority group in need
S2: To reduce poverty, inequality and social exclusion					
Decision-making criteria		Indicator-based concerns			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Will it reduce poverty and social exclusion in those areas most affected? Will it improve the level of activity available to young people in the District? Will it support the development of Social Cohesion? 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> % of the population living in the most deprived super output areas of the country Reducing the numbers of people claiming Job Seekers Allowance 			
		0	+	+	Providing permanent sites should improve social cohesion in the longer term by avoiding damaging unauthorised sites. In terms of activity for younger people, the advantages here are only likely to be felt by site occupiers.
S3: To offer opportunities for all sections of the population to have rewarding and satisfying employment					
Decision-making criteria		Indicator-based concerns			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Will it reduce unemployment overall? Will it improve earnings? Will it improve access to employment and help to create a better housing-jobs balance? 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> % of the population of working age in employment Improving the level of average earnings 			
		0	0	0	No change

South Norfolk Gypsy and Traveller DPD – Sustainability Appraisal of Options

Site: Station Rd, Spooner Row (cont'd)					
Sustainability Appraisal Objectives	Investigating Question or Decision Making Criteria	Assessing the impacts (++ / - - / 0 / ?)			Nature of Effects and Overall Assessment: Quantify where possible.
SOCIAL FACTORS		Short term	Medium term	Long term	Include justification, comments and recommendations eg. for mitigation measures
S4: To improve accessibility to essential services, facilities and the workplace, particularly for those most in need					
Decision-making criteria • Will it improve accessibility to key local services?		Indicator-based concerns • Improving the effectiveness of public transport to service public facilities • Improving the level of facilities in local areas for local people to access			
		0	0	0	Few services available in walking distance, although a bus service is available to access a wide range of services in Wymondham, Attleborough and Norwich.
S5: To improve the education and skills of the population overall					
Decision-making criteria • Will it improve qualifications and skills of young people? • Will it improve access to schools / education facilities? • Will it encourage opportunities for vocational skills training and improve local links with the workplace?		Indicator-based concerns • Improving the level of school exam performance • Improving the vocational training amongst the working population			
		?	+	+	By providing permanent sites, it should improve access to education facilities for children of Gypsy and Traveller families.
S6: To improve the health of the population overall					
Decision-making criteria • Will it reduce death rates? • Will it improve access to high quality health facilities? • Will it encourage healthy lifestyles?		Indicator-based concerns • Ability to access GP services within 2 working days • Improving the general life expectancy at birth			
		+	+	+	Permanent sites can lead to benefits such as being able to register with a doctor.

South Norfolk Gypsy and Traveller DPD – Sustainability Appraisal of Options

Site: Station Road, Spooner Row (cont'd)					
Sustainability Appraisal Objectives	Investigating Question or Decision Making Criteria	Assessing the impacts (++ / - - / 0 / ?)			Nature of Effects and Overall Assessment: Quantify where possible.
SOCIAL FACTORS		Short term	Medium term	Long term	Include justification, comments and recommendations eg. for mitigation measures
S7: To encourage local community identity and foster mixed communities with co-operative attitudes, helping to reduce anti-social activity					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it reduce actual levels of crime?/ fear of crime? • Will it encourage engagement in community activities? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Levels of crime and the community's general fear of crime • Ability to create mixed and participative communities, eg. through election turnout 			
		?	?	0	Evidence does not suggest a link between sites such as that proposed and increased crime levels. However, it is clear from the consultation that for many people a fear of crime which is likely to be an issue at least in the short term.
S8: To improve the quality of where people live					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it improve satisfaction of people with their neighbourhoods? Will it increase access to and provision of quality open space?		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Improving the quality of green open spaces for the wider population • Residents' perception of the quality of their neighbourhoods as places to live 			
		?	?	?	There too many variables involved regarding neighbourhood satisfaction. There will be no improvement to access or provision of quality open space.
Summary: SA vs. Social Objectives		0	+	+	In the medium to long term the development of permanent sites such as these should reduce social exclusion and in the longer term improve community cohesion by improving access to education and health facilities whilst reducing conflict associated with unauthorised sites.

South Norfolk Gypsy and Traveller DPD – Sustainability Appraisal of Options

Site: Station Road, Spooner Row (cont'd)					
Sustainability Appraisal Objectives	Investigating Question or Decision Making Criteria	Assessing the impacts (++ / - - / 0 / ?)			Nature of Effects and Overall Assessment: Quantify where possible. Include justification, comments and recommendations eg. for mitigation measures
		Short term	Medium term	Long term	
EC1: To encourage sustained economic growth					
Decision-making criteria		Indicator-based concerns			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it support emerging employment uses in the District (e.g. Research, tourism)? • Will it help retain existing businesses? • Will it aid farming diversification? 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Improving floor space used for economic uses, especially in regeneration areas • Facilitation more VAT registered businesses in the District, eg. by providing land 			
		0	0	0	No impact on existing businesses / farm diversification
EC2: To encourage and accommodate both indigenous and inward investment promoting a positive image of the District					
Decision-making criteria		Indicator-based concerns			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it provide for a variety of locations for businesses? • Will it add to a ready supply of employment premises? • Is it supporting targeted emerging employment types? 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Assessing the availability of employment land across the District 			
		0	0	0	No impact on employment provision
EC3: To encourage efficient patterns of movement in support of economic growth					
Decision-making criteria		Indicator-based concerns			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it encourage the development of local employment locations? • Is it located so as to minimise the journey to work? • Will it enhance a group of existing employment generating uses? • Will it encourage mixed use or live / work? 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Travel-to-work by mode data • Reducing the reliance on accessing the workplace via private car 			
		-	-	-	Sites are not being designed as mixed use and this site is not well located to minimise journey to work to employment opportunities

South Norfolk Gypsy and Traveller DPD – Sustainability Appraisal of Options

Site: Station Road, Spooner Row (cont'd)					
Sustainability Appraisal Objectives	Investigating Question or Decision Making Criteria	Assessing the impacts (++ / - - / 0 / ?)			Nature of Effects and Overall Assessment: Quantify where possible.
ECONOMIC FACTORS		Short term	Medium term	Long term	Include justification, comments and recommendations eg. for mitigation measures
EC4: To improve the social and environmental performance of the economy					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Will it offer the opportunity for more flexible working? Will it operate in a way that seeks to minimise impact on the environment? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Encouraging business to work towards gaining Investors in People accreditation Amount of floor space developed by employment type on previously developed land 			
		0/-	0/-	0/-	
EC5: To improve economic performance in rural areas					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Will it encourage rural diversification? Will it offer sources of employment in rural areas? Will it improve electronic communication potential? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Planning permissions granted for business use outside towns Improving the 'jobs density', as number of jobs to every resident of working age 			
		0	0	0	Does not affect employment opportunities
Summary: SA vs. Economic Objectives		0/-	0/-	0/-	Other considerations mean that the site scores negatively on some factors such as its location and absence of any mixed use elements.
Key to Effects Score: ++ Major Positive + Minor Positive 0 Neutral Effect - Minor Negative -- Major Negative ? Uncertain Effect					
Overall Conclusions for site at Station Road, Spooner Row: The site scores poorly on some environmental factors such as its location in relation to services and use of a greenfield site currently in use for agricultural production. However the site does offer the potential to achieve social objectives such as improving community cohesion and access to education / health services.					
Recommendations: Site to be discounted in light of other issues raised					

South Norfolk Gypsy and Traveller DPD – Sustainability Appraisal of Options

South Norfolk Gypsy and Traveller DPD – Sustainability Appraisal of Short-listed Sites

Site: land to the west of Stanfield Oil Depot					
Sustainability Appraisal Objectives ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS	Investigating Question or Decision Making Criteria	Assessing the impacts (++ / - - / 0 / ?)			Nature of Effects and Overall Assessment: Quantify where possible. Include justification, comments and recommendations e.g. for mitigation measures
		Short term	Medium term	Long term	
ENV1: To maintain and enhance biodiversity, geodiversity, species and habitat quality, and avoid habitat fragmentation					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will sites of nature conservation value be adversely affected by development of the site? • Will development of the site increase the number or diversity of sites of nature conservation interest? • Will it adversely affect sites of geological interest? • Will it contribute to achieving BAP targets? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ability to influence Biodiversity Action Plan progress and protect SSSIs • Limiting detrimental change to areas designated for their intrinsic environmental value 			
		0	0	0	Site is close to Oxford Common, however mitigation measures can be used to ensure there is no adverse impact
ENV2: To limit or reduce vulnerability to climate change, including minimising the risks from flooding					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will development of the site minimise the risk of flooding? • Will it help reduce the vulnerability of agriculture to changes in weather patterns? • Is it promoting sustainable use of flood zones? • Does it encourage habitat relocation or compensation? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reducing the number of planning applications permitted in flood zones • Reducing the vulnerability of planning applications permitted in flood zones 			
		0	0	0	Not in identified flood risk zone, nor any evidence of surface water flooding
ENV3: To maximise the use of renewable energy solutions and reduce contributions to climate change					
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it encourage efficient use of energy? • Is it promoting a sequential approach to the pattern of development? • Will it reduce the emissions of greenhouse gases, including from traffic? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Improving the provision of renewable energy generating schemes • Reducing household energy use and increasing use of energy from renewable sources 			
		-	-	-	Site does not include renewable energy / energy conservation measures at this stage, nor is it well positioned to minimise car use

South Norfolk Gypsy and Traveller DPD – Sustainability Appraisal of Options

Site: land west of Stanfield Depot (cont'd)						
Sustainability Appraisal Objectives ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS	Investigating Question or Decision Making Criteria	Assessing the impacts (++ / - - / 0 / ?)			Nature of Effects and Overall Assessment: Quantify where possible. Include justification, comments and recommendations eg. for mitigation measures	
		Short term	Medium term	Long term		
ENV4: To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment						
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it reduce traffic volume? • Will it reduce traffic congestion? • Will it reduce the effect of HGV traffic on people and environment? • Will it increase the % of journeys using non-car modes? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • % residential development complying with car-parking standards • Encouraging the use of non-car modes of transport 				
		0/-	0/-	0/-	Not well located to minimise car use. Traffic levels generated from the site will be low. The site also suffers from poor public transport accessibility and no pedestrian facilities in immediate proximity.	
ENV5: To improve air quality and minimise noise, vibration and light pollution						
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it reduce emissions of atmospheric pollution? • Can it improve the ambiance of local areas? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Minimising the instances of particulate, NO2 and ozone pollution • Trying to avoid the need for Air Quality Management Areas 				
		0	0	0	Noise issues can be controlled through design of site, tenancy agreements, etc	
ENV6: To maintain and enhance the distinctiveness and quality of landscapes, townscapes and the historic environment						
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it protect vulnerable and valued landscapes, or mitigate the effects of inappropriate development? • Will the site makes a positive contribution to the local area, and enhances the character of local landscapes? • Will it reduce the amount of derelict, degraded and under-used land? • Will the District's heritage be preserved or enhanced? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Listed buildings and scheduled ancient monuments considered 'at risk' • Conservation Area management plans 				
		0/-	0/-	0/-	Landscaping measures can be used to minimise the site's visual impact	

South Norfolk Gypsy and Traveller DPD – Sustainability Appraisal of Options

Site: land west of Stanfield Depot (cont'd)					
Sustainability Appraisal Objectives	Investigating Question or Decision Making Criteria	Assessing the impacts (++ / - - / 0 / ?)			Nature of Effects and Overall Assessment: Quantify where possible.
ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS		Short term	Medium term	Long term	Include justification, comments and recommendations eg. for mitigation measures
ENV7: To minimise the loss of undeveloped land and conserve and improve the quality of soil resources					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Will it avoid the use of productive agricultural land? Will it minimise the irreversible use of soil resources? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> % of new dwellings built on previously developed land New dwellings densities and land use efficiency Contaminated land: its availability and restrictions to new development 			
		-	-	-	Would involve the loss of land currently available for agricultural use
ENV8: To improve water qualities and provide for sustainable sources of supply and sustainable use					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Does it incorporate Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems? Will it reduce water consumption? Will the supply of water be efficient in terms of the overall network? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Planning permissions granted contrary to Environment Agency water quality advice Groundwater resource availability across the District 			
		0	0	0	Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems could be incorporated into the design of the site
ENV9: To minimise the production of waste and increase recycling					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Will it result in less waste being produced or requiring disposal? Will it facilitate better community recycling facilities? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reducing the number of kilograms of household waste collected per head Increasing the % of waste that is recycled or composted 			
		0	0	0	Will have normal refuse disposal arrangements
Summary: SA vs. Environmental Objectives		0/-	0/-	0/-	The site scores negatively on environmental factors due to its location in a location that is likely to be reliant on private transport and because it is a Greenfield site that could be used for agricultural production.

South Norfolk Gypsy and Traveller DPD – Sustainability Appraisal of Options

Site: land west of Stanfield Depot (cont'd)					
Sustainability Appraisal Objectives	Investigating Question or Decision Making Criteria	Assessing the impacts (++ / - - / 0 / ?)			Nature of Effects and Overall Assessment: Quantify where possible.
SOCIAL FACTORS		Short term	Medium term	Long term	Include justification, comments and recommendations eg. for mitigation measures
S1: To provide everybody with the opportunity to live in a decent, suitable and affordable home					
Decision-making criteria		Indicator-based concerns			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it reduce homelessness? • Will it increase the range and affordability and quality of housing stock for all social groups? 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Affordable housing completions per year against overall housing completions • Reducing the number of unfit private sector dwellings 			
		0	0	0	Does not provide housing for all groups but does provide for a minority group in need
S2: To reduce poverty, inequality and social exclusion					
Decision-making criteria		Indicator-based concerns			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it reduce poverty and social exclusion in those areas most affected? • Will it improve the level of activity available to young people in the District? • Will it support the development of Social Cohesion? 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • % of the population living in the most deprived super output areas of the country • Reducing the numbers of people claiming Job Seekers Allowance 			
		0	+	+	Providing permanent sites should improve social cohesion in the longer term by avoiding damaging unauthorised sites. In terms of activity for younger people, the advantages here are only likely to be felt by site occupiers.
S3: To offer opportunities for all sections of the population to have rewarding and satisfying employment					
Decision-making criteria		Indicator-based concerns			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it reduce unemployment overall? • Will it improve earnings? • Will it improve access to employment and help to create a better housing-jobs balance? 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • % of the population of working age in employment • Improving the level of average earnings 			
		0	0	0	No change

South Norfolk Gypsy and Traveller DPD – Sustainability Appraisal of Options

Site: land west of Stanfield Depot (cont'd)					
Sustainability Appraisal Objectives	Investigating Question or Decision Making Criteria	Assessing the impacts (++ / - - / 0 / ?)			Nature of Effects and Overall Assessment: Quantify where possible.
SOCIAL FACTORS		Short term	Medium term	Long term	Include justification, comments and recommendations eg. for mitigation measures
S4: To improve accessibility to essential services, facilities and the workplace, particularly for those most in need					
Decision-making criteria • Will it improve accessibility to key local services?		Indicator-based concerns • Improving the effectiveness of public transport to service public facilities • Improving the level of facilities in local areas for local people to access			
		0/-	0/-	0/-	Few services available in walking distance.
S5: To improve the education and skills of the population overall					
Decision-making criteria • Will it improve qualifications and skills of young people? • Will it improve access to schools / education facilities? • Will it encourage opportunities for vocational skills training and improve local links with the workplace?		Indicator-based concerns • Improving the level of school exam performance • Improving the vocational training amongst the working population			
		?	+	+	By providing permanent sites, it should improve access to education facilities for children of Gypsy and Traveller families.
S6: To improve the health of the population overall					
Decision-making criteria • Will it reduce death rates? • Will it improve access to high quality health facilities? • Will it encourage healthy lifestyles?		Indicator-based concerns • Ability to access GP services within 2 working days • Improving the general life expectancy at birth			
		+	+	+	Permanent sites can lead to benefits such as being able to register with a doctor.

South Norfolk Gypsy and Traveller DPD – Sustainability Appraisal of Options

Site: land west of Stanfield Depot (cont'd)					
Sustainability Appraisal Objectives	Investigating Question or Decision Making Criteria	Assessing the impacts (++ / - - / 0 / ?)			Nature of Effects and Overall Assessment: Quantify where possible. Include justification, comments and recommendations eg. for mitigation measures
		Short term	Medium term	Long term	
S7: To encourage local community identity and foster mixed communities with co-operative attitudes, helping to reduce anti-social activity					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it reduce actual levels of crime?/ fear of crime? • Will it encourage engagement in community activities? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Levels of crime and the community's general fear of crime • Ability to create mixed and participative communities, eg. through election turnout 			Evidence does not suggest a link between sites such as that proposed and increased crime levels. However, it is clear from the consultation that for many people a fear of crime which is likely to be an issue at least in the short term.
		?	?	0	
S8: To improve the quality of where people live					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it improve satisfaction of people with their neighbourhoods? Will it increase access to and provision of quality open space?		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Improving the quality of green open spaces for the wider population • Residents' perception of the quality of their neighbourhoods as places to live 			There too many variables involved regarding neighbourhood satisfaction. There will be no improvement to access or provision of quality open space.
		?	?	?	
Summary: SA vs. Social Objectives		0	+	+	In the medium to long term the development of permanent sites such as these should reduce social exclusion and improve community cohesion in the longer term by improving access to education and health facilities whilst reducing conflict associated with unauthorised sites.

South Norfolk Gypsy and Traveller DPD – Sustainability Appraisal of Options

Site: land west of Stanfield Depot (cont'd)					
Sustainability Appraisal Objectives	Investigating Question or Decision Making Criteria	Assessing the impacts (++ / - - / 0 / ?)			Nature of Effects and Overall Assessment: Quantify where possible. Include justification, comments and recommendations eg. for mitigation measures
		Short term	Medium term	Long term	
EC1: To encourage sustained economic growth					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it support emerging employment uses in the District (e.g. Research, tourism)? • Will it help retain existing businesses? • Will it aid farming diversification? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Improving floor space used for economic uses, especially in regeneration areas • Facilitation more VAT registered businesses in the District, eg. by providing land 			Sufficient distance to avoid impact on operations in existing oil depot.
		0	0	0	
EC2: To encourage and accommodate both indigenous and inward investment promoting a positive image of the District					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it provide for a variety of locations for businesses? • Will it add to a ready supply of employment premises? • Is it supporting targeted emerging employment types? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Assessing the availability of employment land across the District 			No impact on employment provision
		0	0	0	
EC3: To encourage efficient patterns of movement in support of economic growth					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it encourage the development of local employment locations? • Is it located so as to minimise the journey to work? • Will it enhance a group of existing employment generating uses? • Will it encourage mixed use or live / work? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Travel-to-work by mode data • Reducing the reliance on accessing the workplace via private car 			Sites are not being designed as mixed use and this site is not well located to minimise journey to work to employment opportunities
		-	-	-	

South Norfolk Gypsy and Traveller DPD – Sustainability Appraisal of Options

Site: land west of Stanfield Depot (cont'd)					
Sustainability Appraisal Objectives	Investigating Question or Decision Making Criteria	Assessing the impacts (++ / - - / 0 / ?)			Nature of Effects and Overall Assessment: Quantify where possible.
ECONOMIC FACTORS		Short term	Medium term	Long term	Include justification, comments and recommendations eg. for mitigation measures
EC4: To improve the social and environmental performance of the economy					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Will it offer the opportunity for more flexible working? Will it operate in a way that seeks to minimise impact on the environment? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Encouraging business to work towards gaining Investors in People accreditation Amount of floor space developed by employment type on previously developed land 			
		0/-	0/-	0/-	The site is not intended for employment use
EC5: To improve economic performance in rural areas					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Will it encourage rural diversification? Will it offer sources of employment in rural areas? Will it improve electronic communication potential? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Planning permissions granted for business use outside towns Improving the 'jobs density', as number of jobs to every resident of working age 			
		0	0	0	Does not affect employment opportunities
Summary: SA vs. Economic Objectives		0/-	0/-	0/-	Other considerations mean that the site scores negatively on some factors such as its location and absence of any mixed use elements.
Key to Effects Score: ++ Major Positive + Minor Positive 0 Neutral Effect - Minor Negative -- Major Negative ? Uncertain Effect					
Overall Conclusions for site at land west of Stanfield Oil Depot, Stanfield Road: The site does not score on well on environmental factors due to its location, however it can help in achieving social objectives such as improving community cohesion and access to health and education facilities.					
Recommendations: Whilst it is recognised that the site is deficient on some environmental factors, other factors have led to this being the preferred site in the A11 corridor					

South Norfolk Gypsy and Traveller DPD – Sustainability Appraisal of Options

South Norfolk Gypsy and Traveller DPD – Sustainability Appraisal of Short-listed Sites

Site: Former MoD Fuel Depot, Station Lane, Ketteringham					
Sustainability Appraisal Objectives	Investigating Question or Decision Making Criteria	Assessing the impacts (++ / -- / 0 / ?)			Nature of Effects and Overall Assessment: Quantify where possible.
ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS		Short term	Medium term	Long term	Include justification, comments and recommendations e.g. for mitigation measures
ENV1: To maintain and enhance biodiversity, geodiversity, species and habitat quality, and avoid habitat fragmentation					
Decision-making criteria		Indicator-based concerns			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will sites of nature conservation value be adversely affected by development of the site? • Will development of the site increase the number or diversity of sites of nature conservation interest? • Will it adversely affect sites of geological interest? • Will it contribute to achieving BAP targets? 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ability to influence Biodiversity Action Plan progress and protect SSSIs • Limiting detrimental change to areas designated for their intrinsic environmental value 			
		0	0	0	Site is not on or adjacent to a site with nature conservation value
ENV2: To limit or reduce vulnerability to climate change, including minimising the risks from flooding					
Decision-making criteria		Indicator-based concerns			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will development of the site minimise the risk of flooding? • Will it help reduce the vulnerability of agriculture to changes in weather patterns? • Is it promoting sustainable use of flood zones? • Does it encourage habitat relocation or compensation? 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reducing the number of planning applications permitted in flood zones • Reducing the vulnerability of planning applications permitted in flood zones 			
		0	0	0	Site is not within a flood risk zone and there is no evidence of surface water flooding
ENV3: To maximise the use of renewable energy solutions and reduce contributions to climate change					
Decision-making criteria		Indicator-based concerns			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it encourage efficient use of energy? • Is it promoting a sequential approach to the pattern of development? • Will it reduce the emissions of greenhouse gases, including from traffic? 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Improving the provision of renewable energy generating schemes • Reducing household energy use and increasing use of energy from renewable sources 			
		-	-	-	Site does not include renewable energy / energy conservation measures at this stage, nor is it well positioned to minimise car use

South Norfolk Gypsy and Traveller DPD – Sustainability Appraisal of Options

Site: Former MoD Fuel Depot (continued)					
Sustainability Appraisal Objectives ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS	Investigating Question or Decision Making Criteria	Assessing the impacts (++ / - - / 0 / ?)			Nature of Effects and Overall Assessment: Quantify where possible. Include justification, comments and recommendations eg. for mitigation measures
		Short term	Medium term	Long term	
ENV4: To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it reduce traffic volume? • Will it reduce traffic congestion? • Will it reduce the effect of HGV traffic on people and environment? • Will it increase the % of journeys using non-car modes? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • % residential development complying with car-parking standards • Encouraging the use of non-car modes of transport 			
		0/-	0/-	0/-	Not well located to minimise car use with little alternative in terms of other modes of transport. Traffic levels generated from the site will be low.
ENV5: To improve air quality and minimise noise, vibration and light pollution					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it reduce emissions of atmospheric pollution? • Can it improve the ambiance of local areas? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Minimising the instances of particulate, NO2 and ozone pollution • Trying to avoid the need for Air Quality Management Areas 			
		0	0	0	Noise issues can be controlled through design of site, tenancy agreements, etc
ENV6: To maintain and enhance the distinctiveness and quality of landscapes, townscapes and the historic environment					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it protect vulnerable and valued landscapes, or mitigate the effects of inappropriate development? • Will the site makes a positive contribution to the local area, and enhances the character of local landscapes? • Will it reduce the amount of derelict, degraded and under-used land? • Will the District's heritage be preserved or enhanced? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Listed buildings and scheduled ancient monuments considered 'at risk' • Conservation Area management plans 			
		+	+	+	Would be part of scheme to remove redundant oil depot. Landscaping measures can be used to minimise the site's visual impact.

South Norfolk Gypsy and Traveller DPD – Sustainability Appraisal of Options

Site: Former MoD Fuel Depot (continued)					
Sustainability Appraisal Objectives ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS	Investigating Question or Decision Making Criteria	Assessing the impacts (++ / - - / 0 / ?)			Nature of Effects and Overall Assessment: Quantify where possible. Include justification, comments and recommendations eg. for mitigation measures
		Short term	Medium term	Long term	
ENV7: To minimise the loss of undeveloped land and conserve and improve the quality of soil resources					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it avoid the use of productive agricultural land? • Will it minimise the irreversible use of soil resources? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • % of new dwellings built on previously developed land • New dwellings densities and land use efficiency • Contaminated land: its availability and restrictions to new development 			Would not involve the loss of land currently available for agricultural use
		+	+	+	
ENV8: To improve water qualities and provide for sustainable sources of supply and sustainable use					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Does it incorporate Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems? • Will it reduce water consumption? • Will the supply of water be efficient in terms of the overall network? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Planning permissions granted contrary to Environment Agency water quality advice • Groundwater resource availability across the District 			Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems could be incorporated into the design of the site
		0	0	0	
ENV9: To minimise the production of waste and increase recycling					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it result in less waste being produced or requiring disposal? • Will it facilitate better community recycling facilities? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reducing the number of kilograms of household waste collected per head • Increasing the % of waste that is recycled or composted 			Will have normal refuse disposal arrangements
		0	0	0	
Summary: SA vs. Environmental Objectives		0	0	0	Whilst the site does score well on some such factors, particularly in that it develops a redundant previously developed site, these are countered by its location in which there is little alternative to private transport

South Norfolk Gypsy and Traveller DPD – Sustainability Appraisal of Options

Site: Former MoD Fuel Depot (continued)					
Sustainability Appraisal Objectives	Investigating Question or Decision Making Criteria	Assessing the impacts (++ / - - / 0 / ?)			Nature of Effects and Overall Assessment: Quantify where possible.
SOCIAL FACTORS		Short term	Medium term	Long term	Include justification, comments and recommendations eg. for mitigation measures
S1: To provide everybody with the opportunity to live in a decent, suitable and affordable home					
Decision-making criteria		Indicator-based concerns			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it reduce homelessness? • Will it increase the range and affordability and quality of housing stock for all social groups? 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Affordable housing completions per year against overall housing completions • Reducing the number of unfit private sector dwellings 			
		0	0	0	Does not provide housing for all groups but does provide for a minority group in need
S2: To reduce poverty, inequality and social exclusion					
Decision-making criteria		Indicator-based concerns			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it reduce poverty and social exclusion in those areas most affected? • Will it improve the level of activity available to young people in the District? • Will it support the development of Social Cohesion? 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • % of the population living in the most deprived super output areas of the country • Reducing the numbers of people claiming Job Seekers Allowance 			
		0	+	+	Providing permanent sites should improve social cohesion in the longer term by avoiding damaging unauthorised sites. In terms of activity for younger people, the advantages here are only likely to be felt by site occupiers.
S3: To offer opportunities for all sections of the population to have rewarding and satisfying employment					
Decision-making criteria		Indicator-based concerns			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it reduce unemployment overall? • Will it improve earnings? • Will it improve access to employment and help to create a better housing-jobs balance? 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • % of the population of working age in employment • Improving the level of average earnings 			
		0	0	0	No change

South Norfolk Gypsy and Traveller DPD – Sustainability Appraisal of Options

Site: Former MoD Fuel Depot (continued)					
Sustainability Appraisal Objectives	Investigating Question or Decision Making Criteria	Assessing the impacts (++ / - - / 0 / ?)			Nature of Effects and Overall Assessment: Quantify where possible. Include justification, comments and recommendations eg. for mitigation measures
		Short term	Medium term	Long term	
S4: To improve accessibility to essential services, facilities and the workplace, particularly for those most in need					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it improve accessibility to key local services? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Improving the effectiveness of public transport to service public facilities • Improving the level of facilities in local areas for local people to access 			Minimal services available in walking distance.
		-	-	-	
S5: To improve the education and skills of the population overall					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it improve qualifications and skills of young people? • Will it improve access to schools / education facilities? • Will it encourage opportunities for vocational skills training and improve local links with the workplace? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Improving the level of school exam performance • Improving the vocational training amongst the working population 			By providing permanent sites, it should improve access to education facilities for children of Gypsy and Traveller families.
		?	+	+	
S6: To improve the health of the population overall					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it reduce death rates? • Will it improve access to high quality health facilities? • Will it encourage healthy lifestyles? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ability to access GP services within 2 working days • Improving the general life expectancy at birth 			Permanent sites can lead to benefits such as being able to register with a doctor.
		+	+	+	

South Norfolk Gypsy and Traveller DPD – Sustainability Appraisal of Options

Site: Former MoD Fuel Depot (continued)					
Sustainability Appraisal Objectives	Investigating Question or Decision Making Criteria	Assessing the impacts (++ / - - / 0 / ?)			Nature of Effects and Overall Assessment: Quantify where possible. Include justification, comments and recommendations eg. for mitigation measures
SOCIAL FACTORS		Short term	Medium term	Long term	
S7: To encourage local community identity and foster mixed communities with co-operative attitudes, helping to reduce anti-social activity					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it reduce actual levels of crime?/ fear of crime? • Will it encourage engagement in community activities? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Levels of crime and the community's general fear of crime • Ability to create mixed and participative communities, eg. through election turnout 			Evidence does not suggest a link between sites such as that proposed and increased crime levels. However, it is clear from the consultation that for many people a fear of crime which is likely to be an issue at least in the short term.
		?	?	0	
S8: To improve the quality of where people live					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it improve satisfaction of people with their neighbourhoods? Will it increase access to and provision of quality open space?		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Improving the quality of green open spaces for the wider population • Residents' perception of the quality of their neighbourhoods as places to live 			There too many variables involved regarding neighbourhood satisfaction. There will be no improvement to access or provision of quality open space.
		?	?	?	
Summary: SA vs. Social Objectives		0	+	+	In the medium to long term the development of permanent sites such as these should reduce social exclusion and in the longer term improve community cohesion by improving access to education and health facilities whilst reducing conflict associated with unauthorised sites.

South Norfolk Gypsy and Traveller DPD – Sustainability Appraisal of Options

Site: Former MoD Fuel Depot (continued)					
Sustainability Appraisal Objectives	Investigating Question or Decision Making Criteria	Assessing the impacts (++ / - - / 0 / ?)			Nature of Effects and Overall Assessment: Quantify where possible. Include justification, comments and recommendations eg. for mitigation measures
		Short term	Medium term	Long term	
EC1: To encourage sustained economic growth					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it support emerging employment uses in the District (e.g. Research, tourism)? • Will it help retain existing businesses? • Will it aid farming diversification? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Improving floor space used for economic uses, especially in regeneration areas • Facilitation more VAT registered businesses in the District, eg. by providing land 			Landscaping measures could be used to ensure there is no impact on neighbouring businesses. No impact on farm diversification.
EC2: To encourage and accommodate both indigenous and inward investment promoting a positive image of the District					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it provide for a variety of locations for businesses? • Will it add to a ready supply of employment premises? • Is it supporting targeted emerging employment types? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Assessing the availability of employment land across the District 			No impact on employment provision
EC3: To encourage efficient patterns of movement in support of economic growth					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it encourage the development of local employment locations? • Is it located so as to minimise the journey to work? • Will it enhance a group of existing employment generating uses? • Will it encourage mixed use or live / work? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Travel-to-work by mode data • Reducing the reliance on accessing the workplace via private car 			Sites are not being designed as mixed use and this site is not well located to minimise journey to work to employment opportunities

South Norfolk Gypsy and Traveller DPD – Sustainability Appraisal of Options

Site: Former MoD Fuel Depot (continued)					
Sustainability Appraisal Objectives	Investigating Question or Decision Making Criteria	Assessing the impacts (++ / - - / 0 / ?)			Nature of Effects and Overall Assessment: Quantify where possible. Include justification, comments and recommendations eg. for mitigation measures
ECONOMIC FACTORS		Short term	Medium term	Long term	
EC4: To improve the social and environmental performance of the economy					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it offer the opportunity for more flexible working? • Will it operate in a way that seeks to minimise impact on the environment? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Encouraging business to work towards gaining Investors in People accreditation • Amount of floor space developed by employment type on previously developed land 			Does not involve an employment use
		0/-	0/-	0/-	
EC5: To improve economic performance in rural areas					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it encourage rural diversification? • Will it offer sources of employment in rural areas? • Will it improve electronic communication potential? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Planning permissions granted for business use outside towns • Improving the 'jobs density', as number of jobs to every resident of working age 			Does not affect employment opportunities
		0	0	0	
Summary: SA vs. Economic Objectives		0/-	0/-	0/-	Other considerations mean that the site scores negatively on some factors such as its location and absence of any mixed use elements.
Key to Effects Score: ++ Major Positive + Minor Positive 0 Neutral Effect - Minor Negative -- Major Negative ? Uncertain Effect					
Overall Conclusions for site at Former MoD Fuel Depot, Ketteringham: The site has a neutral score on environmental factors, whilst scores positively on the potential to achieve social objectives such as improving social cohesion and access to education and health facilities					
Recommendations: Although a higher scoring site, other factors mean a site here is no longer required.					

South Norfolk Gypsy and Traveller DPD – Sustainability Appraisal of Options

South Norfolk Gypsy and Traveller DPD – Sustainability Appraisal of Short-listed Sites

Site: Station Lane, Ketteringham					
Sustainability Appraisal Objectives	Investigating Question or Decision Making Criteria	Assessing the impacts (++ / - - / 0 / ?)			Nature of Effects and Overall Assessment: Quantify where possible. Include justification, comments and recommendations e.g. for mitigation measures
		Short term	Medium term	Long term	
ENV1: To maintain and enhance biodiversity, geodiversity, species and habitat quality, and avoid habitat fragmentation					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will sites of nature conservation value be adversely affected by development of the site? • Will development of the site increase the number or diversity of sites of nature conservation interest? • Will it adversely affect sites of geological interest? • Will it contribute to achieving BAP targets? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ability to influence Biodiversity Action Plan progress and protect SSSIs • Limiting detrimental change to areas designated for their intrinsic environmental value 			
		0	0	0	Site is not on or adjacent to a site with nature conservation value
ENV2: To limit or reduce vulnerability to climate change, including minimising the risks from flooding					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will development of the site minimise the risk of flooding? • Will it help reduce the vulnerability of agriculture to changes in weather patterns? • Is it promoting sustainable use of flood zones? • Does it encourage habitat relocation or compensation? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reducing the number of planning applications permitted in flood zones • Reducing the vulnerability of planning applications permitted in flood zones 			
		-	-	-	Evidence of surface water flooding
ENV3: To maximise the use of renewable energy solutions and reduce contributions to climate change					
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it encourage efficient use of energy? • Is it promoting a sequential approach to the pattern of development? • Will it reduce the emissions of greenhouse gases, including from traffic? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Improving the provision of renewable energy generating schemes • Reducing household energy use and increasing use of energy from renewable sources 			
		-	-	-	Site does not include renewable energy / energy conservation measures at this stage, nor is it well positioned to minimise car use

South Norfolk Gypsy and Traveller DPD – Sustainability Appraisal of Options

Site: Station Lane, Ketteringham (cont'd)					
Sustainability Appraisal Objectives ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS	Investigating Question or Decision Making Criteria	Assessing the impacts (++ / - - / 0 / ?)			Nature of Effects and Overall Assessment: Quantify where possible. Include justification, comments and recommendations eg. for mitigation measures
		Short term	Medium term	Long term	
ENV4: To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it reduce traffic volume? • Will it reduce traffic congestion? • Will it reduce the effect of HGV traffic on people and environment? • Will it increase the % of journeys using non-car modes? 	Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • % residential development complying with car-parking standards • Encouraging the use of non-car modes of transport 				
	0/-	0/-	0/-	Not well located to minimise car use. Traffic levels generated from the site will be low.	
ENV5: To improve air quality and minimise noise, vibration and light pollution					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it reduce emissions of atmospheric pollution? • Can it improve the ambiance of local areas? 	Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Minimising the instances of particulate, NO2 and ozone pollution • Trying to avoid the need for Air Quality Management Areas 				
	0	0	0	Noise issues can be controlled through design of site, tenancy agreements, etc	
ENV6: To maintain and enhance the distinctiveness and quality of landscapes, townscapes and the historic environment					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it protect vulnerable and valued landscapes, or mitigate the effects of inappropriate development? • Will the site makes a positive contribution to the local area, and enhances the character of local landscapes? • Will it reduce the amount of derelict, degraded and under-used land? • Will the District's heritage be preserved or enhanced? 	Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Listed buildings and scheduled ancient monuments considered 'at risk' • Conservation Area management plans 				
	0/-	0/-	0/-	Landscaping measures can be used to minimise the site's visual impact	

South Norfolk Gypsy and Traveller DPD – Sustainability Appraisal of Options

Site: Station Lane, Ketteringham (cont'd)					
Sustainability Appraisal Objectives	Investigating Question or Decision Making Criteria	Assessing the impacts (++ / - - / 0 / ?)			Nature of Effects and Overall Assessment: Quantify where possible.
ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS		Short term	Medium term	Long term	Include justification, comments and recommendations eg. for mitigation measures
ENV7: To minimise the loss of undeveloped land and conserve and improve the quality of soil resources					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it avoid the use of productive agricultural land? • Will it minimise the irreversible use of soil resources? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • % of new dwellings built on previously developed land • New dwellings densities and land use efficiency • Contaminated land: its availability and restrictions to new development 			
		0	0	0	Would not involve the loss of land currently available for agricultural use
ENV8: To improve water qualities and provide for sustainable sources of supply and sustainable use					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Does it incorporate Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems? • Will it reduce water consumption? • Will the supply of water be efficient in terms of the overall network? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Planning permissions granted contrary to Environment Agency water quality advice • Groundwater resource availability across the District 			
		0	0	0	Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems could be incorporated into the design of the site
ENV9: To minimise the production of waste and increase recycling					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it result in less waste being produced or requiring disposal? • Will it facilitate better community recycling facilities? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reducing the number of kilograms of household waste collected per head • Increasing the % of waste that is recycled or composted 			
		0	0	0	Will have normal refuse disposal arrangements
Summary: SA vs. Environmental Objectives		-	-	-	This site scores particularly poorly on environmental factors due to past occurrences of surface water flooding and reliance on private transport to access almost all services

South Norfolk Gypsy and Traveller DPD – Sustainability Appraisal of Options

Site: Station Lane, Ketteringham (cont'd)					
Sustainability Appraisal Objectives	Investigating Question or Decision Making Criteria	Assessing the impacts (++ / - - / 0 / ?)			Nature of Effects and Overall Assessment: Quantify where possible.
SOCIAL FACTORS		Short term	Medium term	Long term	Include justification, comments and recommendations eg. for mitigation measures
S1: To provide everybody with the opportunity to live in a decent, suitable and affordable home					
Decision-making criteria		Indicator-based concerns			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it reduce homelessness? • Will it increase the range and affordability and quality of housing stock for all social groups? 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Affordable housing completions per year against overall housing completions • Reducing the number of unfit private sector dwellings 			
		0	0	0	Does not provide housing for all groups but does provide for a minority group in need
S2: To reduce poverty, inequality and social exclusion					
Decision-making criteria		Indicator-based concerns			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it reduce poverty and social exclusion in those areas most affected? • Will it improve the level of activity available to young people in the District? • Will it support the development of Social Cohesion? 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • % of the population living in the most deprived super output areas of the country • Reducing the numbers of people claiming Job Seekers Allowance 			
		0	+	+	Providing permanent sites should improve social cohesion in the longer term by avoiding damaging unauthorised sites. In terms of activity for younger people, the advantages here are only likely to be felt by site occupiers.
S3: To offer opportunities for all sections of the population to have rewarding and satisfying employment					
Decision-making criteria		Indicator-based concerns			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it reduce unemployment overall? • Will it improve earnings? • Will it improve access to employment and help to create a better housing-jobs balance? 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • % of the population of working age in employment • Improving the level of average earnings 			
		0	0	0	No change

South Norfolk Gypsy and Traveller DPD – Sustainability Appraisal of Options

Site: Station Lane, Ketteringham (cont'd)					
Sustainability Appraisal Objectives	Investigating Question or Decision Making Criteria	Assessing the impacts (++ / - - / 0 / ?)			Nature of Effects and Overall Assessment: Quantify where possible. Include justification, comments and recommendations eg. for mitigation measures
		Short term	Medium term	Long term	
S4: To improve accessibility to essential services, facilities and the workplace, particularly for those most in need					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Will it improve accessibility to key local services? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Improving the effectiveness of public transport to service public facilities Improving the level of facilities in local areas for local people to access 			Minimal services available in walking distance.
		-	-	-	
S5: To improve the education and skills of the population overall					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Will it improve qualifications and skills of young people? Will it improve access to schools / education facilities? Will it encourage opportunities for vocational skills training and improve local links with the workplace? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Improving the level of school exam performance Improving the vocational training amongst the working population 			By providing permanent sites, it should improve access to education facilities for children of Gypsy and Traveller families.
		?	+	+	
S6: To improve the health of the population overall					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Will it reduce death rates? Will it improve access to high quality health facilities? Will it encourage healthy lifestyles? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ability to access GP services within 2 working days Improving the general life expectancy at birth 			Permanent sites can lead to benefits such as being able to register with a doctor.
		+	+	+	

South Norfolk Gypsy and Traveller DPD – Sustainability Appraisal of Options

Site: Station Lane, Ketteringham (cont'd)					
Sustainability Appraisal Objectives	Investigating Question or Decision Making Criteria	Assessing the impacts (++ / - - / 0 / ?)			Nature of Effects and Overall Assessment: Quantify where possible. Include justification, comments and recommendations eg. for mitigation measures
SOCIAL FACTORS		Short term	Medium term	Long term	
S7: To encourage local community identity and foster mixed communities with co-operative attitudes, helping to reduce anti-social activity					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Will it reduce actual levels of crime?/ fear of crime? Will it encourage engagement in community activities? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Levels of crime and the community's general fear of crime Ability to create mixed and participative communities, eg. through election turnout 			Evidence does not suggest a link between sites such as that proposed and increased crime levels. However, it is clear from the consultation that for many people a fear of crime which is likely to be an issue at least in the short term.
		?	?	0	
S8: To improve the quality of where people live					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Will it improve satisfaction of people with their neighbourhoods? Will it increase access to and provision of quality open space? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Improving the quality of green open spaces for the wider population Residents' perception of the quality of their neighbourhoods as places to live 			There too many variables involved regarding neighbourhood satisfaction. There will be no improvement to access or provision of quality open space.
		?	?	?	
Summary: SA vs. Social Objectives		0	+	+	In the medium to long term the development of permanent sites such as these should reduce social exclusion and in the longer term improve community cohesion by improving access to education and health facilities whilst reducing conflict associated with unauthorised sites.

South Norfolk Gypsy and Traveller DPD – Sustainability Appraisal of Options

Site: Station Lane, Ketteringham (cont'd)					
Sustainability Appraisal Objectives	Investigating Question or Decision Making Criteria	Assessing the impacts (++ / - - / 0 / ?)			Nature of Effects and Overall Assessment: Quantify where possible. Include justification, comments and recommendations eg. for mitigation measures
		Short term	Medium term	Long term	
EC1: To encourage sustained economic growth					
Decision-making criteria		Indicator-based concerns			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it support emerging employment uses in the District (e.g. Research, tourism)? • Will it help retain existing businesses? • Will it aid farming diversification? 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Improving floor space used for economic uses, especially in regeneration areas • Facilitation more VAT registered businesses in the District, eg. by providing land 			
		0	0	0	Would not adversely affect any businesses / farm diversification.
EC2: To encourage and accommodate both indigenous and inward investment promoting a positive image of the District					
Decision-making criteria		Indicator-based concerns			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it provide for a variety of locations for businesses? • Will it add to a ready supply of employment premises? • Is it supporting targeted emerging employment types? 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Assessing the availability of employment land across the District 			
		0	0	0	No impact on employment provision
EC3: To encourage efficient patterns of movement in support of economic growth					
Decision-making criteria		Indicator-based concerns			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it encourage the development of local employment locations? • Is it located so as to minimise the journey to work? • Will it enhance a group of existing employment generating uses? • Will it encourage mixed use or live / work? 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Travel-to-work by mode data • Reducing the reliance on accessing the workplace via private car 			
		-	-	-	Sites are not being designed as mixed use and this site is not well located to minimise journey to work to employment opportunities

South Norfolk Gypsy and Traveller DPD – Sustainability Appraisal of Options

Site: Station Lane, Ketteringham (cont'd)					
Sustainability Appraisal Objectives	Investigating Question or Decision Making Criteria	Assessing the impacts (++ / - - / 0 / ?)			Nature of Effects and Overall Assessment: Quantify where possible.
ECONOMIC FACTORS		Short term	Medium term	Long term	Include justification, comments and recommendations eg. for mitigation measures
EC4: To improve the social and environmental performance of the economy					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it offer the opportunity for more flexible working? • Will it operate in a way that seeks to minimise impact on the environment? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Encouraging business to work towards gaining Investors in People accreditation • Amount of floor space developed by employment type on previously developed land 			
		0/-	0/-	0/-	
EC5: To improve economic performance in rural areas					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it encourage rural diversification? • Will it offer sources of employment in rural areas? • Will it improve electronic communication potential? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Planning permissions granted for business use outside towns • Improving the 'jobs density', as number of jobs to every resident of working age 			
		0	0	0	Does not affect employment opportunities
Summary: SA vs. Economic Objectives					Other considerations mean that the site scores negatively on some factors such as its location and absence of any mixed use elements.
Key to Effects Score: ++ Major Positive + Minor Positive 0 Neutral Effect - Minor Negative -- Major Negative ? Uncertain Effect					
Overall Conclusions for site at Station Lane, Ketteringham: The site is considered to be particularly poor in environmental terms, although it still offers potential to achieve social objectives such as improving community cohesion and access to education / health provision.					
Recommendations: Site to be discounted in light of environmental issues such as flooding.					

South Norfolk Gypsy and Traveller DPD – Sustainability Appraisal of Options

South Norfolk Gypsy and Traveller DPD – Sustainability Appraisal of Short-listed Sites

Site: Transit site, Thickthorn					
Sustainability Appraisal Objectives	Investigating Question or Decision Making Criteria	Assessing the impacts (++ / - - / 0 / ?)			Nature of Effects and Overall Assessment: Quantify where possible. Include justification, comments and recommendations e.g. for mitigation measures
		Short term	Medium term	Long term	
ENV1: To maintain and enhance biodiversity, geodiversity, species and habitat quality, and avoid habitat fragmentation					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will sites of nature conservation value be adversely affected by development of the site? • Will development of the site increase the number or diversity of sites of nature conservation interest? • Will it adversely affect sites of geological interest? • Will it contribute to achieving BAP targets? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ability to influence Biodiversity Action Plan progress and protect SSSIs • Limiting detrimental change to areas designated for their intrinsic environmental value 			
		0	0	0	Site is not on or adjacent to a site with nature conservation value
ENV2: To limit or reduce vulnerability to climate change, including minimising the risks from flooding					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will development of the site minimise the risk of flooding? • Will it help reduce the vulnerability of agriculture to changes in weather patterns? • Is it promoting sustainable use of flood zones? • Does it encourage habitat relocation or compensation? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reducing the number of planning applications permitted in flood zones • Reducing the vulnerability of planning applications permitted in flood zones 			
		0	0	0	Site is not within a flood risk zone and there is no evidence of surface water flooding
ENV3: To maximise the use of renewable energy solutions and reduce contributions to climate change					
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it encourage efficient use of energy? • Is it promoting a sequential approach to the pattern of development? • Will it reduce the emissions of greenhouse gases, including from traffic? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Improving the provision of renewable energy generating schemes • Reducing household energy use and increasing use of energy from renewable sources 			
		-	-	-	Site does not include renewable energy / energy conservation measures at this stage, nor is it well positioned to minimise car use

South Norfolk Gypsy and Traveller DPD – Sustainability Appraisal of Options

Site: Transit Site (continued)					
Sustainability Appraisal Objectives ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS	Investigating Question or Decision Making Criteria	Assessing the impacts (++ / - - / 0 / ?)			Nature of Effects and Overall Assessment:
		Short term	Medium term	Long term	Quantify where possible. Include justification, comments and recommendations eg. for mitigation measures
ENV4: To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it reduce traffic volume? • Will it reduce traffic congestion? • Will it reduce the effect of HGV traffic on people and environment? • Will it increase the % of journeys using non-car modes? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • % residential development complying with car-parking standards • Encouraging the use of non-car modes of transport 			
		0/-	0/-	0/-	Not well located to minimise car use. Traffic levels generated from the site will be low. Pedestrian access to facilities in Cringleford is available, however, via the footbridge over the A47
ENV5: To improve air quality and minimise noise, vibration and light pollution					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it reduce emissions of atmospheric pollution? • Can it improve the ambiance of local areas? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Minimising the instances of particulate, NO2 and ozone pollution • Trying to avoid the need for Air Quality Management Areas 			
		-	-	-	The site is an area that suffers from existing air pollution from vehicles. Whilst the additional traffic generated by the proposed site will result in negligible difference to this, the air quality would be unsatisfactory for site occupiers.
ENV6: To maintain and enhance the distinctiveness and quality of landscapes, townscapes and the historic environment					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it protect vulnerable and valued landscapes, or mitigate the effects of inappropriate development? • Will the site makes a positive contribution to the local area, and enhances the character of local landscapes? • Will it reduce the amount of derelict, degraded and under-used land? • Will the District's heritage be preserved or enhanced? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Listed buildings and scheduled ancient monuments considered 'at risk' • Conservation Area management plans 			
		-	-	-	In area of that has designated landscape protections to provide gaps between settlements and to protect the historic setting of Norwich

South Norfolk Gypsy and Traveller DPD – Sustainability Appraisal of Options

Site: Transit site (continued)					
Sustainability Appraisal Objectives ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS	Investigating Question or Decision Making Criteria	Assessing the impacts (++ / - - / 0 / ?)			Nature of Effects and Overall Assessment: Quantify where possible. Include justification, comments and recommendations eg. for mitigation measures
		Short term	Medium term	Long term	
ENV7: To minimise the loss of undeveloped land and conserve and improve the quality of soil resources					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Will it avoid the use of productive agricultural land? Will it minimise the irreversible use of soil resources? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> % of new dwellings built on previously developed land New dwellings densities and land use efficiency Contaminated land: its availability and restrictions to new development 			Would not involve the loss of land currently available for agricultural use
		0	0	0	
ENV8: To improve water qualities and provide for sustainable sources of supply and sustainable use					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Does it incorporate Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems? Will it reduce water consumption? Will the supply of water be efficient in terms of the overall network? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Planning permissions granted contrary to Environment Agency water quality advice Groundwater resource availability across the District 			Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems could be incorporated into the design of the site
		0	0	0	
ENV9: To minimise the production of waste and increase recycling					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Will it result in less waste being produced or requiring disposal? Will it facilitate better community recycling facilities? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reducing the number of kilograms of household waste collected per head Increasing the % of waste that is recycled or composted 			Temporary site
		0	0	0	
Summary: SA vs. Environmental Objectives		-	-	-	The site scores poorly in landscape terms because of the policy designations that have been applied to the land to prevent inappropriate development and because any development is likely to be dependent on private transport. However the main environmental issue is the air quality for site occupiers.

South Norfolk Gypsy and Traveller DPD – Sustainability Appraisal of Options

Site: Transit site (continued)					
Sustainability Appraisal Objectives	Investigating Question or Decision Making Criteria	Assessing the impacts (++ / - - / 0 / ?)			Nature of Effects and Overall Assessment: Quantify where possible.
SOCIAL FACTORS		Short term	Medium term	Long term	Include justification, comments and recommendations eg. for mitigation measures
S1: To provide everybody with the opportunity to live in a decent, suitable and affordable home					
Decision-making criteria		Indicator-based concerns			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it reduce homelessness? • Will it increase the range and affordability and quality of housing stock for all social groups? 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Affordable housing completions per year against overall housing completions • Reducing the number of unfit private sector dwellings 			
		0	0	0	Does not provide housing for all groups but does provide for a minority group in need
S2: To reduce poverty, inequality and social exclusion					
Decision-making criteria		Indicator-based concerns			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it reduce poverty and social exclusion in those areas most affected? • Will it improve the level of activity available to young people in the District? • Will it support the development of Social Cohesion? 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • % of the population living in the most deprived super output areas of the country • Reducing the numbers of people claiming Job Seekers Allowance 			
		0	0/+	0/+	Providing such sites should reduce the number of damaging unauthorised sites.
S3: To offer opportunities for all sections of the population to have rewarding and satisfying employment					
Decision-making criteria		Indicator-based concerns			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it reduce unemployment overall? • Will it improve earnings? • Will it improve access to employment and help to create a better housing-jobs balance? 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • % of the population of working age in employment • Improving the level of average earnings 			
		0	0	0	No change

South Norfolk Gypsy and Traveller DPD – Sustainability Appraisal of Options

Site: Transit site (continued)					
Sustainability Appraisal Objectives	Investigating Question or Decision Making Criteria	Assessing the impacts (++ / - - / 0 / ?)			Nature of Effects and Overall Assessment: Quantify where possible.
SOCIAL FACTORS		Short term	Medium term	Long term	Include justification, comments and recommendations eg. for mitigation measures
S4: To improve accessibility to essential services, facilities and the workplace, particularly for those most in need					
Decision-making criteria • Will it improve accessibility to key local services?		Indicator-based concerns • Improving the effectiveness of public transport to service public facilities • Improving the level of facilities in local areas for local people to access			
		-	-	-	Minimal services available in walking distance.
S5: To improve the education and skills of the population overall					
Decision-making criteria • Will it improve qualifications and skills of young people? • Will it improve access to schools / education facilities? • Will it encourage opportunities for vocational skills training and improve local links with the workplace?		Indicator-based concerns • Improving the level of school exam performance • Improving the vocational training amongst the working population			
		0	0	0	Temporary site is not appropriate for long term accommodation of young people
S6: To improve the health of the population overall					
Decision-making criteria • Will it reduce death rates? • Will it improve access to high quality health facilities? • Will it encourage healthy lifestyles?		Indicator-based concerns • Ability to access GP services within 2 working days • Improving the general life expectancy at birth			
		0	0	0	Temporary site unlikely to have much impact on these issues

South Norfolk Gypsy and Traveller DPD – Sustainability Appraisal of Options

Site: Transit site (continued)					
Sustainability Appraisal Objectives	Investigating Question or Decision Making Criteria	Assessing the impacts (++ / - - / 0 / ?)			Nature of Effects and Overall Assessment: Quantify where possible.
SOCIAL FACTORS		Short term	Medium term	Long term	Include justification, comments and recommendations eg. for mitigation measures
S7: To encourage local community identity and foster mixed communities with co-operative attitudes, helping to reduce anti-social activity					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it reduce actual levels of crime?/ fear of crime? • Will it encourage engagement in community activities? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Levels of crime and the community's general fear of crime • Ability to create mixed and participative communities, eg. through election turnout 			Evidence does not suggest a link between sites such as that proposed and increased crime levels. However, it is clear from the consultation that for many people a fear of crime.
		?	?	?	
S8: To improve the quality of where people live					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it improve satisfaction of people with their neighbourhoods? Will it increase access to and provision of quality open space?		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Improving the quality of green open spaces for the wider population • Residents' perception of the quality of their neighbourhoods as places to live 			There too many variables involved regarding neighbourhood satisfaction. There will be no improvement to access or provision of quality open space.
		?	?	?	
Summary: SA vs. Social Objectives		0/+	0/+	0/+	The nature of transit sites is such that they will not have much impact on the education or health of site occupiers. They do, however, have some benefit in that they reduce the potential for illegal encampments which can result in conflict with the settled community.

South Norfolk Gypsy and Traveller DPD – Sustainability Appraisal of Options

Site: Transit site (continued)					
Sustainability Appraisal Objectives	Investigating Question or Decision Making Criteria	Assessing the impacts (++ / - - / 0 / ?)			Nature of Effects and Overall Assessment: Quantify where possible.
ECONOMIC FACTORS		Short term	Medium term	Long term	Include justification, comments and recommendations eg. for mitigation measures
EC1: To encourage sustained economic growth					
Decision-making criteria		Indicator-based concerns			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it support emerging employment uses in the District (e.g. Research, tourism)? • Will it help retain existing businesses? • Will it aid farming diversification? 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Improving floor space used for economic uses, especially in regeneration areas • Facilitation more VAT registered businesses in the District, eg. by providing land 			
		0	0	0	Would not adversely affect any businesses / farm diversification.
EC2: To encourage and accommodate both indigenous and inward investment promoting a positive image of the District					
Decision-making criteria		Indicator-based concerns			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it provide for a variety of locations for businesses? • Will it add to a ready supply of employment premises? • Is it supporting targeted emerging employment types? 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Assessing the availability of employment land across the District 			
		0	0	0	No impact on employment provision
EC3: To encourage efficient patterns of movement in support of economic growth					
Decision-making criteria		Indicator-based concerns			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it encourage the development of local employment locations? • Is it located so as to minimise the journey to work? • Will it enhance a group of existing employment generating uses? • Will it encourage mixed use or live / work? 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Travel-to-work by mode data • Reducing the reliance on accessing the workplace via private car 			
		-	-	-	Sites are not being designed as mixed use and this site is not well located to minimise journey to work to employment opportunities

South Norfolk Gypsy and Traveller DPD – Sustainability Appraisal of Options

Site: Transit site (continued)					
Sustainability Appraisal Objectives	Investigating Question or Decision Making Criteria	Assessing the impacts (++ / - - / 0 / ?)			Nature of Effects and Overall Assessment: Quantify where possible.
ECONOMIC FACTORS		Short term	Medium term	Long term	Include justification, comments and recommendations eg. for mitigation measures
EC4: To improve the social and environmental performance of the economy					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it offer the opportunity for more flexible working? • Will it operate in a way that seeks to minimise impact on the environment? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Encouraging business to work towards gaining Investors in People accreditation • Amount of floor space developed by employment type on previously developed land 			
		0/-	0/-	0/-	The site is not intended to be used for employment purposes
EC5: To improve economic performance in rural areas					
Decision-making criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it encourage rural diversification? • Will it offer sources of employment in rural areas? • Will it improve electronic communication potential? 		Indicator-based concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Planning permissions granted for business use outside towns • Improving the 'jobs density', as number of jobs to every resident of working age 			
		0	0	0	Does not affect employment opportunities
Summary: SA vs. Economic Objectives		0/-	0/-	0/-	The site scores poorly as it not related well to employment development and does not encourage employment opportunities.
Key to Effects Score: ++ Major Positive + Minor Positive 0 Neutral Effect - Minor Negative -- Major Negative ? Uncertain Effect					
Overall Conclusions for proposed transit site at Thickthorn: The site scores poorly on environmental and economic factors, with only limited potential to achieve social objectives. However, the very nature of transit sites means that they are unlikely to score well on these criteria. The main issue raised is that of air quality for site occupiers.					
Recommendations: Site to be discounted due to the issue of air quality and for other reasons.					

Appendix 1 - South Norfolk Gypsy and Traveller DPD Sustainability Appraisal (SA) of site selection criteria

Time period	No criteria			Criteria "A" - "Issues and Options"			Criteria "B" – this document		
	Short	Med	Long	Short	Med	Long	Short	Med	Long
SA Factors									
ENV 1	?	?	?	0	0	0	+	+	+
ENV 2	?	?	?	+	+	+	+	+	+
ENV 3	?	?	?	0	0	0	0	0	0
ENV 4	?	?	?	-	-	-	-	-	-
ENV 5	?	?	?	0	0	0	+	+	+
ENV 6	?	?	?	0	0	0	+	+	+
ENV 7	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?
ENV 8	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?
ENV 9	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?
ENV SUMMARY	?	?	?	0	0	0	+	+	+
S 1	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?
S 2	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?
S 3	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?
S 4	?	?	?	+	+	+	0	0	0
S 5	?	?	?	+	+	+	0	0	0
S 6	?	?	?	+	+	+	0	0	0
S 7	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?
S 8	?	?	?	+	+	+	0	0	0
SOC SUMMARY	?	?	?	+	+	+	0	0	0
EC 1	?	?	?	0	0	0	0	0	0
EC 2	?	?	?	0	0	0	0	0	0
EC 3	?	?	?	?	?	?	0	0	0
EC 4	?	?	?	0	0	0	0	0	0
EC 5	?	?	?	+	0	0	0	0	0
ECON SUMMARY	?	?	?	+	0	0	0	0	0

Key

Major positive effect	++		A full description of SA factors is given on the following pages. For full details of this appraisal, and the SA Scoping report, see: http://www.south-norfolk.gov.uk/consultation
Minor positive effect	+		
Neutral effect	0		
Minor negative effect	-		
Major negative effect	--		
Uncertain effect	?		

Objectives	Sub-Objective / Decision-Making Criteria	Indicator-based concerns
ENVIRONMENTAL OBJECTIVES		
ENV1: To maintain and enhance biodiversity, geodiversity, species and habitat quality, and avoid habitat fragmentation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it protect sites of nature conservation value from inappropriate development? • Will it increase the number or diversity of sites of nature conservation interest? • Will it bring negative effects to designated sites and what would this involve? • Will it contribute to achieving BAP targets? 	<p>Ability to influence Biodiversity Action Plan progress and protect SSSIs</p> <p>Limiting detrimental change to areas designated for their intrinsic environmental value or habitat / species importance</p>
ENV2: To limit or reduce vulnerability to climate change, including minimising the risks from flooding	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it minimise the risk of flooding? • Will it help reduce the vulnerability of agriculture to changes in weather patterns? • Is it promoting sustainable use of flood zones? • Does it encourage habitat relocation or compensation? 	<p>Reducing the number of planning applications permitted in flood zones</p> <p>Reducing the vulnerability of planning applications permitted in flood zones</p>
ENV3: To maximise the use of renewable energy solutions and reduce contributions to climate change	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it encourage efficient use of energy? • Is it promoting a sequential approach to the pattern of development? • Will it reduce the emissions of greenhouse gases, including from traffic? 	<p>Improving the provision of renewable energy generating schemes</p> <p>Reducing household energy use and increasing use of energy from renewable sources</p>
ENV4: To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it reduce traffic volume? • Will it reduce traffic congestion? • Will it reduce the effect of HGV traffic on people and environment? • Will it increase the % of journeys made using non-car modes? 	<p>% residential development complying with car-parking standards</p> <p>Encouraging the use of non-car modes of transport</p>
ENV5: To improve air quality and minimise noise, vibration and light pollution	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it reduce emissions of atmospheric pollution? • Can it improve the ambiance of local areas? 	<p>Minimising the instances of particulate, NO₂ and ozone pollution</p> <p>Trying to avoid the need for Air Quality Management Areas</p>
ENV6: To maintain and enhance the distinctiveness and quality of landscapes, townscapes and the historic environment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it protect vulnerable and valued landscapes, or mitigate the effects of inappropriate development? • Will it ensure that design is complementary to, or able to enhance, the character of local landscapes? • Will it reduce the amount of derelict, degraded, under-used land? • Will the District's heritage be preserved or enhanced? 	<p>Listed buildings and scheduled ancient monuments considered 'at risk'</p> <p>Establishing Conservation Area management plans to maintain heritage preservation</p> <p>Considering impacts on heritage and the historic environment.</p>

Objectives	Sub-Objective / Decision-Making Criteria	Indicator-based concerns
ENVIRONMENTAL OBJECTIVES		
ENV7: To minimise the loss of undeveloped land and conserve and improve the quality of soil resources	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it avoid the use of productive agricultural land? • Will it minimise the irreversible use of soil resources? 	% of new dwellings built on brownfield land. New dwellings densities and land use efficiency. Contaminated land: its availability and restrictions to new development.
ENV8: To improve water qualities and provide for sustainable sources of supply and sustainable use	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Does it incorporate Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems? • Will it reduce water consumption? • Will the supply of water be efficient in terms of the overall network? 	Planning permissions granted contrary to Environment Agency water quality advice. Groundwater resource availability across the District.
ENV9: To minimise the production of waste and increase recycling	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it result in less waste being produced or requiring disposal? • Will it facilitate better community recycling facilities? 	Reducing the number of kilograms of household waste collected per head. Increasing the % of waste that is recycled or composted.
SOCIAL OBJECTIVES		
S1: To provide everybody with the opportunity to live in a decent, suitable and affordable home	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it reduce homelessness? • Will it increase the range and affordability and quality of housing stock for all social groups? 	Affordable housing completions per year against overall housing completions Reducing the number of unfit private sector dwellings
S2: To reduce poverty, inequality and social exclusion	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it reduce poverty and social exclusion in those areas most affected? 	% of the population living in the most deprived super output areas of the country Reducing the numbers of people claiming Job Seekers Allowance
S3: To offer opportunities for all sections of the population to have rewarding and satisfying employment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it reduce unemployment overall? • Will it improve earnings? • Will it improve access to employment and help to create a better housing-jobs balance? 	% of the population of working age in employment Improving the level of average earnings
S4: To improve accessibility to essential services, facilities and the workplace, particularly for those in need	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it improve accessibility to key local services? 	Improving the effectiveness of public transport to service public facilities. Improving the level of facilities in local areas for local people to access.
S5: To improve the education and skills of the population overall	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it improve qualifications and skills of young people? • Will it improve access to schools / education facilities? • Will it encourage opportunities for vocational skills training and improve local links with the workplace? 	Improving the level of school exam performance Improving the vocational training amongst the working population

Objectives	Sub-Objective / Decision-Making Criteria	Indicator-based concerns
SOCIAL OBJECTIVES		
S6: To improve the health of the population overall	Will it reduce death rates? Will it improve access to high quality health facilities? Will it encourage healthy lifestyles?	Ability to access GP services within 2 working days Improving the general life expectancy at birth
S7: To encourage local community identity and foster mixed communities with co-operative attitudes, helping to reduce anti-social activity	Will it reduce actual levels of crime?/ fear of crime? Will it encourage engagement in community activities?	Levels of crime and the community's general fear of crime Ability to create mixed and participative communities, eg. through election turnout
S8: To improve the quality of where people live	Will it improve satisfaction of people with their neighbourhoods? Will it increase access to and provision of quality open space?	Improving the quality of green open spaces for the wider population Residents' perception of the quality of their neighbourhoods as places to live
ECONOMIC OBJECTIVES		
EC1: To encourage sustained economic growth	Will it support emerging employment uses in the District (e.g. Research, tourism)? Will it help retain existing businesses? Will it aid farming diversification?	Improving floor space used for economic uses, especially in regeneration areas Facilitation more VAT registered businesses in the District, eg. by providing land
EC2: To encourage and accommodate both indigenous and inward investment promoting a positive image of the District	Will it provide for a variety of locations for businesses? Will it add to a ready supply of employment premises? Is it supporting targeted emerging employment types?	Assessing the availability of employment land across the District
EC3: To encourage efficient patterns of movement in support of economic growth	Will it encourage the development of local employment locations? Is it located so as to minimise the journey to work? Will it enhance a group of existing employment generating uses? Will it encourage mixed use or live / work?	Travel-to-work by mode data Reducing the reliance on accessing the workplace via private car

Objectives	Sub-Objective / Decision-Making Criteria	Indicator-based concerns
ECONOMIC OBJECTIVES		
EC4: To improve the social and environmental performance of the economy	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it offer the opportunity for more flexible working? • Will it operate in a way that seeks to minimise impact on the environment? 	<p>Encouraging business to work towards gaining Investors in People accreditation</p> <p>Amount of floor space developed by employment type on previously developed land</p>
EC5: To improve economic performance in rural areas	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it encourage rural diversification? • Will it offer sources of employment in rural areas? • Will it improve electronic communication potential? 	<p>Planning permissions granted for business use outside towns</p> <p>Improving the 'jobs density', as number of jobs to every resident of working age</p>